

Andrew Easom 25 Milltown Way, Leek, Staffordshire. St ST135SZ. 4<sup>th</sup> March 2015.

SMDC Planning department.

SMD/2015/0080

Dear Sir/Madam,

I object to the above application.

I attach a letter composed by Mr Roger Carter which I support completely and should form part of my objections.

In short:

I object to use of green belt land in this manner.

I object to industrialisation of the countryside.

I object to dirty diesel generation of electricity.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew Easom

ş	,
	remanyanemayana, est y s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s

SM D/2015/0080

Booths Farm

Clamgoose Lane

Kingsley

Full - MINOR

Validated 26/2/2015

**Delegated Decision** 

Temporary planning permission for the placement of a Short Term Operational Reserve (STOR),

Dear Mr A N Other (Name missing from the website)

I wish to STRONGLEY OBJECT to this application for the following reasons:-

## • Part 1 Procedural

Difficult where to start such a large target!

- $^{\star\star}\text{-}$  A minor application ......No way this is a major industrial incursion within the green belt area.
- \*\*- Delegated Decision ......Just who made such a crazy decision, unless subject to an outright rejection, this application must be called in for proper scrutiny by the SMDC planning committee
- \*\*- Temporary Permission ......Since when has 25 years been temporary ........... Going to jail for 25 years is a life sentence.
- \*\*- No identified case officer ..... If he has got any sense he will stay in hiding
- \*\*- Public Consultation ......No dedicated pre consultation with residents as required by the Aarhus Convention Article 7
- \*\*- No Pre Consultation ..........Company not even prepared to talk to SMDC
- \*\*- Alien Company.......A company based in rural Bedfordshire out to make high profits in the dirty industrial north, with no interest about the residents or affinity to the area. Almost certainly using investment hot money to make a killing based on current government energy panic.
- \*\* More details ......This document is only Part 1 of 3 and 1 do not propose to lead the delegated officer by the nose and suggest all the questions he should be asking, before writing his report, in the event that this application is called into scrutiny by committee.

Roger Carter

14 Broomfields Biddulph Moor

The state of the s

。 1967年 - 1967年 - 1968年 - 196

e de la fille de la companya de la fille de la companya de la fille de la companya de la fille de la fille de La companya de la companya de la fille de la companya de la fille de la fille de la fille de la fille de la fi

## THESE SECTIONS to be published as late submission

## Part 2 Technical

indeed one of the worst and cancer causing to boot.
**- North to South ElectricityTo provide electricity for those living south of Watford where the local residents are not prepared to accept such monstous projects.
**- Relatively elevated siteStand out like a sore thumb particularly in winter when the trees and bushes lose their leaves.
**- Brownfield restored siteYes but surrounded at all points by tranquil green field areas.
**- Based on thirty separate generatorsNo manufacturer descriptionsCould be different types, and colours Could even be Gov Surplus Ex WWII.
**- Based on dirty, filthy, polluting diesel engines What emission controls, do they meet latest EU requirement? Carbon dioxide, Carbon monoxide, Nitrous oxide and Particulates.
$^{\star\star}$ - Based on noisy diesel engines No details of exhaust stacks, including heights, or silences provided
**- Based on generators with no specification with respect to exhaust and noise pollution, not even a guarantee they are the same make, the same model, or even not second hand! Picture are only a graphical representation they will claim!

- $\ensuremath{^{\star\star}\text{-}}$  Cooling .......Similar installation require external cooling facilities , is this the case here?
- \*\*- Waste Recycling .......Oil changes, replacement parts etc all need to be recycled ...... Where are the details?

## Part 3 Other Factors



- \*\*- Proper pre application resolution of identified problems and concerns is required for both the public and then the planners.
- \*\*- Site works and Installed equipment traffic.......... Experience suggests ALL contractors will always use the shortest route as quickly as possible and the council cannot control by condition.
- \*\*- No actual details on the hours of operation.......Would you spend all this time and money to only operate for two hours for each of five days a week ......of course not! ........
  This setup is designed, capable and most likely to be used 24hours a day seven days a week as the existing planned coal generation is shut down by EU dictat.
- \*\*- Security Cameras. ...... Who will monitor the cameras?, Will this work be outsourced?, Where will the monitoring be located?, Who will respond to an incident?, How long to get to an incident
- \*\*- Fire and Incident response. ........... Have the police and fire services been consulted?
- \*\*- Fire Precautions ...........What fire precautions are built into the site?, What equipment is provided? Where is the equipment stored? How is the equipment checked and maintained?
- \*\*- Business Rates Demand. ..... The profit from this site qualifies for business rates to be paid to SMDC ......... Note SMDC must be wise to this and demand their payment.
- \*\*- Site Restoration and Costs ........ A percentage levy must be applied to the turnover value of the generator STOR income and put aside to pay for the restoration of the site to a defined and agreed state at the end of the working life of the installation. (Not greater than 25 years)

