STAFFORDSHIRE moorlands DISTRICT COUNCIL

AIMING·FOR·EXCELLENCE

Part one

FORM 1

1

Planning Service

MOORLANDS HOUSE, STOCKWELL STREET, LEEK, STAFFORDSHIRE MOORLANDS. ST13 6HQ TEL: (01538) 483550 FAX: (01538) 483586

APPLICATION FOR FULL AND OUTLINE PLANNING PERMISSION OR APPROVAL OF RESERVED MATTERS

Please read the attached guidance notes before completing this form.

FIVE copies of this form and FIVE copies of the plans are required.

1. APPLICANT	2. AGENT
Name: Endon Tennis Club	Name:
Address: Station Road, Endon, Stoke-on-Trent, ST9 9DR	Address:
Tel. No.:	Tel. No.:

Particulars of Proposed Development

3. Address or location of proposed development.

Tennis Ground, Station Road, Endon, ST9 9DR

4. Description of proposed development.

a) Floodlighting of two courts, 1 & 5, by the erection of 12 x 6.7m. single luminaire columns

Type of Application

5. (a) Is this a full application? (This includes a change of use)	YES/~~NO~~	
(b) Is this an outline application? (See Guidance Notes)	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** tick the items (if any) for which you are seeking approval at this stage. 1. Siting (inc. layout) ❑ 2. Design ❑ 3. External Appearance ❑ 4. Means of Access ❑ 5. Landscaping ❑
(c) Are you applying for approval of reserved matters following an outline permission?	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** state reference and date of outline permission.
(d) Are you applying for temporary permission?	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** state the length of period for which you are applying.
(e) Are you applying for renewal of an existing temporary permission or to continue a use or retain a building without complying with a condition(s)?	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** state reference and date of existing permission and relevant condition where appropriate.
6. State whether your proposal includes:- (a) New Buildings	~~YES~~/NO	
(b) Alterations or extensions to existing buildings	~~YES~~/NO	
(c) Change of Use	~~YES~~/NO	
(d) Construction of new access to highway	Vehicular ~~YES~~/NO Pedestrian ~~YES~~/NO	
(e) Alteration of existing access to highway	Vehicular ~~YES~~/NO Pedestrian ~~YES~~/NO	
(f) Any operations not falling within the above categories.	YES/~~NO~~	Associated Engineering work.

Please turn over .....

Site Particulars

7. (a) Present use of land or buildings.	Tennis Ground	
(b) If vacant, state the last use and the date it ceased.	Not applicable	
(c) What is the area of the application site? (metric only)	Court 1 & 5 = 1000m.^{2}	
(d) Are there any buildings which are to be demolished?	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** indicate on your submitted plans
(e) Are there any trees which will have to be lopped, topped or felled?	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** indicate on your submitted plans
(f) Are there any public rights of way crossing the site?	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** indicate on your submitted plans and show any proposed diversion

Additional Information

8. Are you providing public open space within the development?	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** please ensure this is clearly identified on the submitted plans. If **NO** please refer to guidance notes		
9 State the type, colour and finish of the external materials. (If alterations or extensions to buildings are involved)	Existing Walls	N/A		
	Proposed Walls	N/A		
	Existing Roof	N/A		
	Proposed Roof	N/A		
10. How will surface water be disposed of? (Please tick appropriate box)	Mains ☐ Soakaway ☐ Other ☐ *(please state)*	N/A		
11. How will foul sewage be dealt with?	Mains ☐ Septic Tank ☐ Other ☐ *(please state)*	N/A		
12. How many vehicle parking spaces do you intend to provide?	Existing Spaces within site (if any)	N/A	Additional Spaces	N/A
13. Is the application for industrial, office, warehousing, storage, retail or other commercial development?	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** complete **Form 2**		
14. Is the application for a dwelling or caravan in connection with agriculture, horticulture or forestry?	~~YES~~/NO	If **YES** complete **Form 3**		

Ownership

15. State the nature of the applicant's interest in the application site. (Owner, lessee, prospective purchaser, etc.)	Owner
16. State whether the applicant owns or controls any adjoining land and if so give its location (also edge blue on plans).	None
17. Which certificate are you submitting with your application? (For information on the correct certificate required please see guidance notes)	A ☑ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐

Fee

18. State the amount of the fee submitted with the application	Re-application.; £135.00 paid prevously

19. I/We hereby apply for:- (Tick appropriate box)

(a) Planning permission to carry out the development described in this application and the accompanying plans. ☑

(b) Approval of details of such matters as were reserved in the outline permission described in this application and the accompanying plans. ☐

(c) Planning permission to retain buildings or works already carried out, or a use of land already commenced as described in this application and the accompanying plans. ☐

I/We understand that this is an application for planning permission only and not for any other form of approval which may be required.

Signed: L Faulkner Dated: 26th September, 2007

On behalf of: Endon Tennis Club

STAFFORDSHIRE
moorlands
DISTRICT COUNCIL

Planning Service

MOORLANDS HOUSE, STOCKWELL STREET, LEEK, STAFFORDSHIRE
MOORLANDS. ST13 6HQ TEL: (01538) 483550 FAX: (01538) 483586

1

PART 2

Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) Order 1995

CERTIFICATE UNDER ARTICLE 7

Certificate A(a)

08/00141/FUL 2008 - 3 MAR

I certify that:

on the day 21 days before the date of the accompanying application/appeal* nobody, except the applicant/appellant*, was the owner*(b)* of any part of the land to which the application/appeal* relates.

Signed ..... L Faulkner .....

*On behalf of ..... Endon Tennis Club .....

Date ..... 30th September, 2007 .....

*delete where inappropriate

(a) This Certificate is for use with applications and appeals for planning permission (articles 7 and 9(1) of the Order). One of Certificates A, B, C or D (or the appropriate certificate in the case of certain minerals applications) must be completed, together with the Agricultural Holdings Certificate.

(b) 'Owner' means a person having a freehold interest or a leasehold interest the unexpired term of which is not less than seven years, or, in the case of development consisting of the winning and working of minerals, a person entitled to an interest in a mineral in the land (other than oil, gas, coal, gold or silver).

Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) Order 1995

CERTIFICATE UNDER ARTICLE 7

Agricultural Holdings Certificate (a)

Whichever is appropriate of the following alternatives must form part of Certificates A, B, C or D. If the applicant is the sole agricultural tenant he or she must delete the first alternative and insert 'not applicable' as the information required by the second alternative.

- * None of the land to which the application/appeal* relates is, or is part of, an agricultural holding.

or

- * ~~I have/The applicant has/The appellant has* given the requisite notice to every person other~~ than my/him/her* self who, on the day 21 days before the date of the application/appeal*, was a tenant of an agricultural holding on all or part of the land to which the application/appeal* relates as follows:

Tenant's name	Address at which notice was served	Date on which notice was served

Signed L Faulkner

*On behalf of Enden Tennis Club

Date 26th September 2007

*delete where inappropriate

(a) This Certificate is for use with applications and appeals for planning permission (articles 7 and 9(1) of the Order). One of Certificates A, B, C or D (or the appropriate certificate in the case of certain minerals applications) must be completed together with the Agricultural Holdings Certificate.

valid from 5/2/08.

Linda Faulkner/Carol Burton
Secretary and Chairperson respectively
Endon Tennis Club
Station Road
Endon
Stoke on Trent

Mike Green
Planning Dept, SMDC
Leek
Staffs

08/00141/FUL - 5 FEB 2008 - SMD

4th February 2008

Dear Mr Green,

RE: Application for floodlights at Endon Tennis Club

I write to you as chair of Endon Tennis Club to ask you to give fair consideration to our forthcoming application.

In the past over a considerable period of time we have applied for and been refused permission from SMDC despite support from neighbours, the local council and Joan Walley MP.

We very much wish to be successful this time as our club is suffering greatly due to lack of members. The club has been existence for many years and is an attractive amenity for the community and prospective house buyers to Endon. We also wish to develop the club further to strengthen community involvement, meeting national requirements to involve juniors in clubs and to attract more members addressing the government's agenda to tackle the obesity problem by encouraging people to participate in local sports opportunities.

None of these objectives is possible if our club is denied to right to develop in line with other local clubs. We therefore need the lighting to enhance our Club, so that our teams can play (and finish) their matches using facilities that are equal to all other match playing club facilities.

We are very sympathetic to our immediate neighbours and sought their views in considering developments. Overwhelmingly they support us – SMDC has received direct quotes on their opinions. Of course there will always be opposition to change and we are aware of the vociferous minority exemplified by their letters to you and the representations made at the last application meeting. We have, however, considered the issues they raise and have addressed them professionally. For example we had a noise survey and a survey (at our own expense) to assess the impact of lighting on the bat population. We regularly monitor use of our car park to ensure that neighbours are not disturbed by activities associated with tennis. We have also over the period of time covered by the applications, considered (and compromised) the times of lighting use.

We are now confident that in requesting lights at the specified times and to the specified courts, we have addressed all issues and can therefore look forward to a favourable outcome this time.

Yours sincerely

Linda Faulkner, Secretary
Carol Burton, Chair
Endon Tennis Club

08/00141/FUL

1

Endon Tennis Ground, Installation of Floodlights, Courts 1 and 5.

1) **Reapplication.** To complete Ladies' County Premiership matches on dull Summer evenings and to comply with Healthy Living Policies, Endon Tennis Club hereby requests permission to install low level illumination on Courts 1 and 5.

a) 1st May - 31st August, 3 evenings per week till 10 p.m.; all dates recorded in a register and a maximum of 40 such evenings.
b) 1st September - 30th April, till 9 p.m. on any evening but not weekends.

2) **Lights.** The Advantage "Single Six Layout" proposed for each court consists of six green 6.7m. columns, each of which carries a luminaire powered by a single 1000 Watt bulb, adding a further 0.3m. to the height. Each court will be illuminated to the County standard of 520 Lux. The luminaire's reflector minimizes the back light so that zero Lux falls either mainly within Club's boundary or marginally beyond. Every residence is at least 12m. outside zero Lux.

Floodlights were first proposed at Endon Tennis Ground in 1986. A number of players at the Club's Annual General Meeting at that time pointed out that the lights on Court 4 would be a nuisance to residents, as proved to be the case. In an Appeal, December 1987, although it was recognised that noise could become an ancillary concern if players and residents were inconsiderate with the comings and goings of their vehicles, Mr. McPherson, B.Sc., Inspector, identified that the "main issue" was the high position of the lamps above the surface of the courts. The lamps were too high, too many, too near a residence 17m. away, not hooded and visually unacceptable. In Contrast with Mr. McPherson's investigation, this reapplication is for a **modern low level system.** The lamps will be 2m. lower, 6 fewer, 29m. from the nearest residence, hooded, visually acceptable and without constraints. Please refer to Cross Section A - B - C, Illumination Plan and Technical Brochure in BUNDLE 2.

3) **Sound.** With match play in progress at the approach of dusk in summer the sounds in residents' back gardens are infrequent, short lived and insignificant. Everything arriving outside residents' homes is less than 50 decibels and it requires ten times that level of sound (60dB.) within a bedroom to prevent a child from going to sleep and 65 decibels within a bedroom to rouse a sleeping person. Players' cars depart at 8m.p.h., emitting a a maximum of 50 decibels. If Policy H19 is breached at 9p.m. and later, the A53 and Residents are responsible. After dusk, the number of Residents' vehicles compared with Players' vehicles entering, traversing and leaving the Ground is 8 : 1. Before, during and after dusk, only Residents harm the amenities with "noise and disturbance." Please refer to the Contour Model (Plan) and Table 1 in BUNDLE 3.

4) **Ongar.** In 1981 the Inspectorate ascertained that residents inside their homes were not disturbed by the sound of play on a court 29m. away. Every resident in Endon is at least 29m. from Courts 1 and 5 and residents have verified the findings of the Ongar Report in BUNDLE 4. Endon with Stanley Parish Council has independently witnessed the Ground at 10p.m. and later over many years and acknowledged that the Club conformed to Policy H19. Ongar Tennis Club is a precedent because Epping Forest District Council overturned a previous Inspector's decision.


ENDON
TENNIS CLUB
927533
LOCATION
MAP
N
W E
S
TO LEEK
To A500
AND M6
Endon
Endon Bank
The Village
Hodgefield
Hill Top
Bank End
Endon Edge
Ball Edge
Stockton Brook
Moss Hill
Stanley Moss
STANLEY
Stanley Moor
Bagnall
Ladymoor Gate Farm
New Ladymoor Farm
Ladymoor Bank Farm
Ladymoor Farm
Ladymoors
Lanehead
Hodgefield Farm
Lower Hodgefield Farm
Burnfields
Springbank
Coppice Farm
Hill Top Farm
Hollin House
New Hollin House
Hillswood
Little Hollies Farm
Knowles Farm
Little Holehouse Farm
Hole House
Hollinhouse Wood
Henridding Wood
Morris House
Stein Fields Farm
Fernhough
Henridding Farm
Springbank Farm
Broadlane Farm
Job's Pool
Woodhouse Green
Clay Lake Farm
Stony Wood
Breach Farm
Rock Cottage
Cross Edge
Bournfield Farm
Tinster Wood
Edge Fields
Long Butts Farm
Drawbridge
Works
Baddeley Hall Farm
Houghwood Bottom
Houghwood Farm
Lock
Club House
Sunny Side Farm
Stanley Moor Head
Quarry (dis)
Coltslow Mill
Mill Pond
Dogcroft Farm
Acres Barn
Newhouse
Stanley Head
STANLEY POOL
Postbridge Farm
Manor House
Pool Meadows
Greenway Hall
GREENWAY HALL GOLF COURSE
Nanke Farm
Stoney Wood
Park Hayes
Holly Wood
Parkhayes Barn
Fields Farm
Hall Mobr Meadows
Highfield
Fields Villa
Fields Mill
Woodcock Hurst
Queen's Cross
The Ashes
Gate House
Endon Brook
Cattle Grid
Sewage Work
Grave Yard
Sutton Hall
Endon Hall Prim. Sch.
Church Farm
Audley's Moat
Manor Farm
Lawn Farm
Towing Path
CANAL
Postbridge Farm
Prim. Sch.
High Sch.
The Grange
Westfield
Tennis Courts
Cricket Grd.
Playing Field
Pavilion
Club House
Moss Hall
Mill
Acres Barn
Dogcroft Farm
Quarry (dis)
Sunny Side Farm
Stanley Moor Head
Houghwood Farm
Houghwood Top
Manor House
Pool Meadows
The Green
Greenway Hall
Nanke Farm
Stoney Wood
Rock Cottage
Cross Edge
Bournfield Farm
Tinster Wood
Edge Fields
Long Butts Farm
Drawbridge
Works
Baddeley Hall Farm
Houghwood Bottom
Houghwood Farm
Lock
Club House
Sunny Side Farm
Stanley Moor Head
Quarry (dis)
Coltslow Mill
Mill Pond
Dogcroft Farm
Acres Barn
Newhouse
Stanley Head
STANLEY POOL
Postbridge Farm
Manor House
Pool Meadows
Greenway Hall
GREENWAY HALL GOLF COURSE
Nanke Farm
Stoney Wood
Park Hayes
Holly Wood
Parkhayes Barn
Fields Farm
Hall Mobr Meadows
Highfield
Fields Villa
Fields Mill
Woodcock Hurst
Queen's Cross
The Ashes
Gate House
Endon Brook
Cattle Grid
Sewage Work
Grave Yard
Sutton Hall
Endon Hall Prim. Sch.
Church Farm
Audley's Moat
Manor Farm
Lawn Farm
Towing Path
CANAL
Postbridge Farm
Prim. Sch.
High Sch.
The Grange
Westfield
Tennis Courts
Cricket Grd.
Playing Field
Pavilion
Club House
Moss Hall
Mill
Acres Barn
Dogcroft Farm
Quarry (dis)
Sunny Side Farm
Stanley Moor Head
Houghwood Farm
Houghwood Top
Manor House
Pool Meadows
The Green
Greenway Hall
Nanke Farm
Stoney Wood
Rock Cottage
Cross Edge
Bournfield Farm
Tinster Wood
Edge Fields
Long Butts Farm
Drawbridge
Works
Baddeley Hall Farm
Houghwood Bottom
Houghwood Farm
Lock
Club House
Sunny Side Farm
Stanley Moor Head
Quarry (dis)
Coltslow Mill
Mill Pond
Dogcroft Farm
Acres Barn
Newhouse
Stanley Head
STANLEY POOL
Postbridge Farm
Manor House
Pool Meadows
Greenway Hall
GREENWAY HALL GOLF COURSE
Nanke Farm
Stoney Wood
Park Hayes
Holly Wood
Parkhayes Barn
Fields Farm
Hall Mobr Meadows
Highfield
Fields Villa
Fields Mill
Woodcock Hurst
Queen's Cross
The Ashes
Gate House
Endon Brook
Cattle Grid
Sewage Work
Grave Yard
Sutton Hall
Endon Hall Prim. Sch.
Church Farm
Audley's Moat
Manor Farm
Lawn Farm
Towing Path
CANAL
Postbridge Farm
Prim. Sch.
High Sch.
The Grange
Westfield
Tennis Courts
Cricket Grd.
Playing Field
Pavilion
Club House
Moss Hall
Mill
Acres Barn
Dogcroft Farm
Quarry (dis)
Sunny Side Farm
Stanley Moor Head
Houghwood Farm
Houghwood Top
Manor House
Pool Meadows
The Green
Greenway Hall
Nanke Farm
Stoney Wood
Rock Cottage
Cross Edge
Bournfield Farm
Tinster Wood
Edge Fields
Long Butts Farm
Drawbridge
Works
Baddeley Hall Farm
Houghwood Bottom
Houghwood Farm
Lock
Club House
Sunny Side Farm
Stanley Moor Head
Quarry (dis)
Coltslow Mill
Mill Pond
Dogcroft Farm
Acres Barn
Newhouse
Stanley Head
STANLEY POOL
Postbridge Farm
Manor House
Pool Meadows
Greenway Hall
GREENWAY HALL GOLF COURSE
Nanke Farm
Stoney Wood
Park Hayes
Holly Wood
Parkhayes Barn
Fields Farm
Hall Mobr Meadows
Highfield
Fields Villa
Fields Mill
Woodcock Hurst
Queen's Cross
The Ashes
Gate House
Endon Brook
Cattle Grid
Sewage Work
Grave Yard
Sutton Hall
Endon Hall Prim. Sch.
Church Farm
Audley's Moat
Manor Farm
Lawn Farm
Towing Path
CANAL
Postbridge Farm
Prim. Sch.
High Sch.
The Grange
Westfield
Tennis Courts
Cricket Grd.
Playing Field
Pavilion
Club House
Moss Hall
Mill
Acres Barn
Dogcroft Farm
Quarry (dis)
Sunny Side Farm
Stanley Moor Head
Houghwood Farm
Houghwood Top
Manor House
Pool Meadows
The Green
Greenway Hall
Nanke Farm
Stoney Wood
91
92
93
55
54
53
52
SCALE 1:18,103
3½ inches to 1 Mile
0 ¼ ½ ¾ 1 Mile
0 250 500 750 Metres 1 Kilometre

IDENTIFICATION PLAN 1,5.

ENDON TENNIS CLUB 14 AUG 2003

T J BADDELEY B.Sc.



P = CAR PARKS


KEY PLAN.
N
W E
Leek Road (A53)
SCALE 1:500
2mm to 1metre
08/00141/FUL
ENDON TENNIS CLUB
FLOODLIGHTS 1 AND 5
Column with one luminaire.
U = Unobtrusive Ground Traffic.
V = Verification of Ongar.
24ft BY EXCHANGE
24ft
"GREEN RIDGES" 75 FEET (24 + ORIGINAL 51ft)
6 FEET (1.83m)
274
Approx Position of Boundary before 1949 Exchange
HAWTHORN
CONIFERS
THE MEADOWS
47
45
43
41
15
11
9
7
5
1
Railway Court
272
Garage
270
Privet Screen
PRIVATE FOR 270
CONCRETE STRIP
EMBANKMENT
Boundary approximately 0.4m from Club House
268
Garage
New Conservatory
266
RIGHT OF WAY CLUB, 268, 270
CLUB HOUSE
ACCESS FOR MAINTENANCE 4, 5, 6.
PLOT -David Brown (01782) 534392
"GREEN FIELDS"
outbuilding
End of concrete boundary strip
Shed
3m. approx.
ACCESS
PROPOSED UNDERGROUND CABLE.
Old Car Park
Birch Trees
VEHICULAR
New Car Park
Sub Station
0.4m. MINIMUM DEPTH OF CABLES
STATION ROAD
Culvert
CONIFERS 4m.
Garage
LINTHORPE
10
4
ALL WEATHER
5
ALL WEATHER
6
ALL WEATHER
2.5m.
2.5m Ball Stop.
RED ASH
1
RED ASH
2
RED ASH
3
PRESENT 2.4m BALL STOP.
DRAIN
EXISTING 3m.
PROPOSED 1.5m
PROPOSED BALL STOP.
H.Q. PARISH COUNCIL

3

HOUSE OF COMMONS

LONDON SW1A 0AA

Mr Baddeley
1, Glastonbury Close
Stockton Brook
Stoke on Trent ST9 9PT

April 20th 2006

Dear Mr Baddeley,

I have received a reply from Staffordshire Moorlands District Council, which I enclose. Please accept my apologies for the delay in replying.

I am not sure what "cooperation" Endon Tennis Club has received from the Staffordshire Moorlands District Council.

I am glad that Mr Baker, in his final paragraph is prepared to say that the Council "has never claimed" that you and others "have behaved badly at the Club". That is not what I understood but nevertheless I am glad that he says so.

With best wishes

Yours sincerely

PP MARK FISHER MP

2

ENDON TENNIS GROUND



Scale 1·200



CROSS SECTION OF THE LINEAR PROPOSAL A-B-C

LUMINAIRE, 1000Watt,
SPECIFICATION AND PERFORMANCE.



Back throw of Primary Light at ground level = 2·5m. maximum.

78/00141/FUL 2008 - SMD

ILLUMINATION PLAN, 1 AND 5

NORTH

FLOODLIGHTS ENDON TENNIS CLUB

SCALE 1:500

Single Luminaire Column. APPROX COURTS 1 AND 5

(15) Selected locations TABLE 1 Max dB(A)

To Leek

Planning Officer's Point of Observation 21/9/04. About 50 metres from Court 1. Couldn't hear a loud call and vice versa; court to garden.

The Meadows

47

45 Corner

Letter 3 Mr H. Heath 2005

NO NOISE

274 Corner

Green Ridges

1·8m Panel Fence

SITE. (8) (17)

Dense Hawthorn and Evergreen Hedge and Screen

(15)

Miss Jackson Letter 15 23/1/04

272 Corner

29m Corner 274

29m Corner 272

TREE PLANTING TO SCREEN AND DRAIN.

500 LUX Court 5 All Weather

29 metres to nearest corner of 45.

ABOUT 100 LUX

LIMITS PRIMARY LIGHT 4

6

11

Leek Road

270 Corner

Dense 2 metre privet hedge

POTENTIAL TREE PLANTING

END

To Potteries

29m Corner 268

29m Corner 270

Letter 1 26/4/01 Mr Tomkinson

SPILLAGE

Quiet Location For New Conservatory 2004 (Sept.)

Garage House Corner

268

SHADOW OF CLUBHOUSE BLOCKING SOUND AND LIGHT WAVES

ALWAYS QUIET ROAD

CLUB House Sound doesn't carry inside.

CONVERSATION LEVEL AND BELOW 99% OF THE TIME.

EXISTING PROPOSED COURT 3 BALL-STOP.

Mrs Morris 5 21/1/04

By conversation 1·5m.

Court 1 Red Ash. 500 LUX

LINE OF 0 LUX (APPROX.)

Phil Bradshaw 20/11/04

By conversation

Railway Court

Fencing

266

2 3

COURT OUTLINES OMITTED FOR CLARITY

1 2005

(27)

On footpath of A53 David Brown's (01782) 534392

UNDERGROUND CABLE

SHED

NO PLAYERS VEHICLES BEYOND THIS POINT SINCE 1956

(25) Evergreen (24) Screen (7) (8) (9)

Panel

LINE OF SECTION

1·8 metre

NO NOISE Letter

NO NOISE FROM COURTS

Garage

Inner Car Park

BACK ROAD

NEW UNDERGROUND

Line of Birch

Greenfields. NO NOISE (1)

Letter

Stream

Corner of House Outbuilding

Outer Car Park P (6) EDGE

Double Track

Electricity Sub Station

Stream Sinks

Laurel Hedge

25m

Corner Greenfields

29 metres to Linthorpe

A

Linthorpe

Rose y Lyn

Heimat

MR & MRS BRUCE 2005 Conversation

House Corner NO ANNOYANCE

Letter

Fiona 10 Morris

14 16 18

VILLAGE PLAYGROUND

BELONGS TO CLUB.

Station Road

AT ONGAR MEASURED ABOUT 29 METRES BY H.M. INSPECTORATE

MATCH PLAY ON COURTS 1 AND 5 CANNOT BE HEARD INSIDE THESE PROPERTIES WITH DOORS AND WINDOWS CLOSED - as would be the case after dark.

29m. or more from nearest court.

Car Park

Car Park H.Q. PARISH COUNCIL

20 metres EDGE of Car Park (5)

1 2 3 4 5

Village Hall.

H.Q. SCOTTISH COUNTRY DANCE SOCIETY

Church

Conversation

APPROVAL OF PARKING ARRANGEMENTS FOR FLOODLIT TENNIS.

P = PARKING FOR USERS OF FLOODLIGHTS

V = VERIFIED BY RESIDENT

All signed statements in BUNDLE 4 of REAPPLICATION.

EXCLUSIVE LEISURE LIMITED
28 Cannock Street
Leicester LE4 9HR
Telephone: 0116 233 2255



Single Six Layout

6m Cols 6 No
Adv. Lum 6 No
Approx 520 Lux Tennis

The photographs below were taken from the same position during daytime and evening and shows the minimal glare and light spillage achieved.





WHY ADVANTAGE WAS DEVELOPED

In the past, outdoor tennis courts have been illuminated using traditional floodlights, adapted for the game of tennis. These consisted of tungsten halogen, high pressure sodium and mercury halide lamps in fittings which are pointed in various directions to obtain an even spread of light over the court. The problem is that in directing the light, the lamp itself is visible and therefore produces glare and light spillage outside the court itself. This is not only distracting to the players, it is also a wasteful use of light. These floodlights need to be mounted at a height of 8-10 metres to enable an even distribution of light. This causes further problems to surrounding properties and highways, which in turn leads to difficulties in obtaining planning permission.

Traditional floodlights may also be very expensive to run, difficult and expensive to maintain and in the case of sodium lamps, produce a yellow/orange light.

In 1987, the **Advantage** system was developed with the aim of producing a lighting system specifically for tennis whilst at the same time, suiting the environment.

Low level lighting is not just a question of attaching floodlights onto a 6 metre high column, and saying it is a low level system. It is also a misconception to think that just because different lighting systems have metal halide lamps, they are the same. This is not so. It is only the type of lamp that is the same, not the floodlight luminaire. Low level lighting with minimal glare and light spillage is achieved by the design of the luminaire itself and not just by the height of the column, or the lamp. **Advantage** luminaires were designed to produce this low level lighting system.

THE ADVANTAGES OF ADVANTAGE:

1. High illuminance levels and uniformity

Advantage produces exceptional levels of illuminance from a minimal number of luminaires. For example, 4 No. columns and 4 No. luminaires on a single court will produce approximately 400 lux which is Club Standard. 6 No. columns and 6 No. luminaires will achieve approximately 500 lux, which is County/ Tournament Standard. It is possible with variations to exceed in excess of 750 lux.

The accepted method of assessing minimum uniformity is

$$\frac{\text{minimum}}{\text{average}} = 0.60$$

Advantage will achieve uniformity factors in excess of this minimum requirement.

2. Individual court lighting

The **Advantage** system is designed to provide individual lighting to each court. There is therefore no waste of electricity by having all your courts lit when only one is in use. We can install simple on/off switching or coin/token/card meter control.

3. Low silhouette mounting

Advantage produces maximum lighting levels and uniformity when mounted on 6 metre high columns and at a mounting height of 6.7 metres. This low mounting height combined with the compact clean appearance of the luminaire produces an unobtrusive design. It is therefore perfectly suited to club and residential tennis and most planning authorities will find it an acceptable solution to the environmental pollution of traditional floodlights.

4. Efficient design with minimal glare and spillage

Advantage is an individually designed luminaire which distributes the light evenly in a downward direction by means of multi-sided reflectors rather than aiming a number of floodlights



SPECIFICATIONS

HOUSING
Extruded Aluminium - Mitred, Welded and Silicone Sealed.

DOORFRAME
Extruded Aluminium - Gasketed - Positive Lock-Aluminium Piano Hinge.

LENS
Tempered Clear Glass - Rear Aluminium Light Baffle - Gasketed.

REFLECTOR
Specular aluminium multi-sided, with minimum 0.55 m of reflecting surface-swing down and latch design.

BALLAST
Regulated Auto Transformer - High Power Factor.

LAMP TYPE
1000 Watt Metal Halide. Average rated lamp life 12000 hours
(10 hours per start).

SIZE
635 x 635 x 305mm.

WEIGHT
28kg.

FINISH
Chemically cleaned, primed, painted and baked enamel: Hollybush Green.



in different directions. The result is that the light is directed into the critical playing zones, and produces maximum cut off with very little glare and spillage outside the court.
Advantage utilises a 1,000 Watt metal halide lamp which is widely recognised as the most efficient light source with excellent colour rendition.
This design combined with the low column height, ensures there is little effect on adjacent properties or highways, and will prove beneficial in any planning application.

5. Low Running Costs

The efficiency of the system ensures low running costs. In achieving 400 lux illuminance the electricity used will be approximately 4Kw per hour per court. For 500 lux it will be approximately 6Kw per hour per court. For clubs, this will mean maximum profit from court hiring charges.

6. Low maintenance

The efficiency of the metal halide lamp gives a lamp life of approximately 12,000 hours at 10 hours per start. This life is reduced each time the lamp is switched on and off. In practical terms however, a period of eight to ten years use should be obtained before replacement lamps are necessary.
The glass lens will collect dirt and dust and will require occasional cleaning to maintain maximum illuminance.
Due to the low column height, both these tasks can be undertaken using a simple scaffold tower and can be carried out by yourselves without having to call in outside contractors.

7. Quality manufacture

The **Advantage** luminaire is manufactured from extruded aluminium, chemically cleaned, primed, painted and baked enamel in a hollybush green colour. Columns and extension arms are tubular steel and also finished in hollybush green paint.
The system therefore uses the best materials to ensure strength, durability and weather resistance and provides an installation whose painted finish allows it to blend in naturally with its setting unlike obtrusive galvanised alternatives.

8. Costs

Whilst **Advantage** may appear slightly more expensive initially against traditional floodlighting, it is advised to compare the illuminance levels achieved. You will probably find that the traditional system with equal illuminance will have a similar cost. When considering the low running costs and future expenditure on lamps, maintenance etc., **Advantage** will prove to be a good investment.

9. Warranty

We warrant that products are free from defects in materials and workmanship for a period of twelve months from the date of purchase.
As it is easy to make misleading claims, we also provide a computer grid print out of the illuminance levels we expect to achieve from any design we suggest, including light spillage.



15m. to houses; E-ndon 30m.

A minimum of 5.5 metres is necessary between the tramlines of two adjacent courts to enable columns to be situated in the ideal positions.





N/A

08/00141/F
2003 - SMD

STAFFORDSHIRE
moorlands
DISTRICT COUNCIL

AIMING·FOR·EXCELLENCE

Simon W. Baker B.Ed MBA MILAM
Chief Executive

Dealt with by: Mr P Dunkley

Our Ref: SWB/PD/JA

Your Ref:

Direct Dial: (01538) 483701

Date: 16 March 2006

Private and Confidential

Mark Fisher MP
Stoke-on-Trent Central
House of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA

Dear Mr Fisher

Re: Mr Baddeley, 1 Glastonbury Close, Stockton Brook, Stoke on Trent. ST9 9PT

Thank you for your letter dated 7 March 2006 regarding the above. I have drafted the following summary order to give you some background to Mr Baddeley's complaint.

The Council has previously engaged in considerable correspondence with Mr Baddeley regarding his complaint against the Planning Service and the refusal by the Council's Planning Application Committee of Endon Tennis Club's application for planning permission. The matter was considered via the Council's own Complaints Procedure, during which Mr Baddeley and Mrs Harris, on behalf of the Tennis Club, met with Mr Trillo (Council Corporate Director and Monitoring Officer) and Mr Dunkley (Head of Customer Services) to discuss a possible way forward. In addition, Mr Baddeley also took his complaints to the Audit Commission and the Local Government Ombudsman, neither of who made any finding against the Council. Subsequently, Mr Baddeley also raised concerns relating to the publishing of the Planning Application Committee minutes to our web site.

The Council does not believe that it has acted improperly in this matter, and has sought, with limited success, to co-operate with Endon Tennis Club with regard to any future application it may make. Given this, I do not believe it would be appropriate to engage further in correspondence with Mr Baddeley unless the issues he raises are materially different from those already addressed. Mr Baddeley was advised of this in a letter of 29th November 2005.

With regard to the question you ask in the final paragraph of your letter, the Council has never claimed that "Mr Baddeley and others have behaved badly at the Club".

Cont....

Mr Baddeley has chosen to interpret the decision in respect of the refusal to grant Planning permission as implying this.

I trust this answers your concerns.

Yours sincerely

Simon W. Baker

Simon W Baker
Chief Executive

ENDON TENNIS CLUB, STATION ROAD, ENDON, STOKE-ON-TRENT.

"Linley",
8, Pit Lane,
Talke,
Stoke-on-Trent,
ST7 1UH

Telephone: (01782) 785214

Mr. S. Baker,
Chief Executive,
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council,
Moorlands House,
Stockwell Street,
Leek,
ST13 6HQ.

6th February, 2003.

Dear Mr. Baker,

Endon Tennis Club will make a new application for floodlights during 2003. The Club is concerned about the assertion made in an Appeal against APPLICATION NO: SM/434•86 by the Inspector J.I. McPherson that extended playing hours were "likely to extend the time over which club members would be coming and going with the attendant starting of car engines and slamming doors all of which would add to the general disturbance to the local residents."

The Tennis Club is anxious to know of any such incidents and stop them to the mutual benefit of neighbours and Club.

It is now 16 years since Mr. McPherson speculated about car noises and since then the number of cars using the Club car park has increased beyond his imagination and yet no neighbour has ever written a letter of complaint to us. To the best of our awareness no such complaints about parking on our Car Park have been sent by our neighbours to your "Department of Pollution and Environmental Protection." Please be kind enough to confirm that your Officers have not received written complaints from our neighbours on the subject of noisy parking by Tennis Club members. If of course they have we should welcome a copy of the Officers' conclusions and recommendations.

Yours sincerely,

L Faulkner

(Mrs. L. Faulkner - Honorary Secretary).

STAFFORDSHIRE
moorlands
DISTRICT COUNCIL

AIMING · FOR · EXCELLENCE

Simon W. Baker B.Ed MBA MILAM
Chief Executive

Dealt with by: Mr. S. Baker

Our Ref: SB/TF

Your Ref:

Direct Line: (01538) 483403

Date: 11th February, 2003

Mrs. L. Faulkner,
Honorary Secretary,
"Linley",
8, Pit Lane,
Talke,
Stoke-on-Trent.
ST7 1UH

Dear Mrs. Faulkner,

Re: Endon Tennis Club

Thank you for your letter of 6th February, 2003.

I have asked colleagues in both Planning and Environmental Health to research this matter and I can confirm that no formal complaints have been lodged.

I trust that this assists you.

Yours sincerely,

S. Baker
Chief Executive

ENDON TENNIS CLUB

TABLE 1

1 INTRODUCTION

At the request of Linda Faulkner, the noise levels were measured at various locations surrounding Endon Tennis Club. At certain locations adjacent to the car parks, as specified below, the background noise levels were measured, together with another reading whilst a car boot lid was repeatedly opened and then slammed shut at least ten times.

2 METHODOLOGY UTILISED

The noise levels were measured using a CEL 393 Type 1 precision computing sound Level Meter. The battery level and calibration of the instrument were checked before and on completion of sampling. Calibration was carried out using a CEL Acoustic Calibrator.

3 RESULTS

The results of the noise measurements are as listed in the table.

4 COMMENTS ON THE RESULTS

Terms used in the Table:

$L_{eq(s)}$: Equivalent continuous noise level which would give the same total amount of sound energy as the fluctuating noise. It is, in effect, the time-weighted average noise energy of the peaks and troughs over the sampling period.

Max: This represents the maximum instantaneous sound level recorded over the sampling period.

L_{10}: This represents the sound level that was exceeded for 10% of the time over the sampling period.

L_{50}: This represents the sound level that was exceeded for 50% of the time over the sampling period.

L_{90}: This represents the sound level that was exceeded for 90% of the time over the sampling period.

Note that in some cases the background noise level was found to be higher than when opening and closing the boot lid. This can be explained by the fact that the background noise level was fluctuating due to the varying amounts of road traffic passing along both Leek Road and Station Road.

SOUND LEVEL METER CALIBRATION DETAILS.

Sound Level Meter: CEL 393 SLM Type 1

Calibration [113 dB(A)]:	Start 113.0 dB(A)	End 113.1 dB(A)
Batteries [minimum 6.8v]	Start 8.4v	End 7.9v

RESULTS OF NOISE MEASUREMENTS

Sampling Location/Details (As designated on the drawing)		$L_{eq(s)}$ dB(A)	Max dB(A)	L_{10} dB(A)	L_{50} dB(A)	L_{90} dB(A)
1	With boot opened & closed	58	71	62.5	56	50.5
	Background	55	-	-	-	-
2	With boot opened & closed	63.0	72.8	68.0	56.0	52.5
	Background	54.2	-	-	-	-
3	Background	48.6	55.0	51.5	49	46.5
	With boot opened & closed	50.0	55.4	53.0	50.0	48.0
4	With boot opened & closed	52.0	56.1	54.5	51.0	48.5
	Background	51.9	63.8	52.5	50.5	48.0
5	Background (traffic)	59.5	73.4	-	-	-
	With boot opened & closed	57.1	68.9	60.0	55.0	48.5
6	With boot opened & closed	57.0	68.1	64	55.5	49.0
	Background (traffic)	62.3	73.6	64.5	57.0	52.0
7	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	52.4	64.6	55.5	48.0	42.5
8	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	51.4	60.6	54.0	49.0	45.0
9	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	52.4	67.9	56.0	47.5	44.0
10	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	54.0	68.3	58.0	49.0	46.0

Sampling Location/Details (As designated on the drawing)		$L_{eq(s)}$ dB(A)	Max dB(A)	L_{10} dB(A)	L_{50} dB(A)	L_{90} dB(A)
11	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	54.1	69.4	58.0	50.0	46.0
12	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	59.1	80.6	61.0	51.0	47.5
13	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	54.0	67.4	59.0	50.5	45.0
14	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	55.0	66.9	57.5	51.5	47.0
15	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	51.1	63.4	54.0	50.0	48.0
16	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	52.1	69.0	54.0	49.0	46.0
17	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	50.8	65.1	54.0	47.0	45.5
18	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	49.1	63.8	51.5	48.0	44.5
19	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	50.2	58.7	55.0	49.0	44.5
20	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	50.5	63.1	53.5	47.5	44.0
21	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	57.3	71.8	60.0	50.5	48.0
22	With boot opened & closed	50.6	58.8	53.0	49.5	47.0
	Playing on courts 2,3,4 & 6	51.3	61.0	-	-	-
23	Music from open upstairs house window	58.4	72.0	-	-	-
	As above, plus boot opened/closed & traffic	58.8	65.8	60.0	56.0	51.0
24	Playing on courts 1,2,4 & 6	51.0	67.7	53.0	48.5	45.5
25	Playing on courts 1,2,4 & 6	53.0	65.7	55.0	49.5	46.0
26	With boot opened & closed	48.3	54.6	51.0	46.5	43.0
	Background	51.0	70.4	-	-	-
27	Traffic on main road at 20.30 hours	68.8	79.0	72.5	63.5	55.0

B T Fisher, MSc, Dip Occ Hyg, MFOH
Consultant Occupational Hygienist

4 June 2003

(1) Ackn 12/02/04 JW

BARRY T. FISHER
&
ASSOCIATES
Health, Safety and Environmental Consultants

4 Alcester Close, Tunstall,
Stoke-on-Trent, ST6 6QB

Telephone & Fax: 01782 837526
Mobile: 07778 435 650

Mr Simon W Baker B.Ed MBA MILAM
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council
Moorlands House
Stockwell Street
Leek
Staffordshire Moorlands
ST13 6HQ

12 FEB 2004

ACE VB

8 February 2004

Please reply & send CE copy

Reference: Planning Applications Committee Meeting 18th December 2003 (Application 03/01138/FUL)

Dear Mr. Baker

I have been sent an extract of a document (pages 6.43 to 6.47) relating to the above planning application.

I am most concerned that on page 6.46, the case officer Mr S L Williams has seriously misled the Committee by his statement made in paragraph 4. In this paragraph he states that *the applicant has submitted an informal and favourable noise assessment from a person who writes that "he is a layman in these matters". That being so, it is considered that the conclusions from the Inspector cannot be set aside on this basis.* I also understand that no copies of this report were subsequently circulated to those present at the meeting.

As the author of that report, I feel that I have to point out that I referred to myself as a layman only in matters of planning applications, as this is the first case that I have ever been involved with. However, that is not to say that I am a layman in matters of noise measurement and assessment. I have been fully qualified to carry out such noise assessments for over 25 years during which time I have carried out noise measurements throughout industry for multi-national companies such as Michelin and Pirelli etc. Incidentally, it was through my involvement with Michelin that I was asked to assist the Endon Tennis Club by carrying out such a survey.

In fact the noise levels were measured using a CEL 393 Type 1 precision computing sound Level Meter. The battery level and calibration of the instrument were checked before and on completion of sampling. Calibration was carried out using a CEL Acoustic Calibrator. An independent UKAS accredited laboratory had just calibrated these instruments and copies of their calibration certificates can be supplied if required.

Consequently, the noise survey was carried out by a qualified and competent person using freshly independently calibrated precision level noise measurement equipment and as such represents a serious and totally independent scientific survey.

Barry Thomas Fisher
MSc, Dip Occ Hyg, MBIOH, ROH

Registered Occupational Hygienist

Member of the British Institute of Occupational Hygienists

Member of the British Occupational Hygiene Society

I must stress that I have no connection with the Tennis Club and that my visit to carry out the survey was the only time that I have ever visited the site and as such I consider myself to be totally unbiased.

The main conclusion from my survey was that the average noise levels emanating from the tennis club [48-59 dB(A)] were generally lower than those generated by passing traffic [60-69 dB(A)] and that in my opinion, the noise levels from the tennis club did not constitute a nuisance.

This conclusion would appear to have been substantiated by the fact that the Council have confirmed that there have been no formal complaints from the neighbours in the history of the club. This despite the fact that the club already play until late in the evenings during the summer months! Therefore I cannot see why the application should be rejected on the basis of noise nuisance.

I am therefore very concerned that this report was misrepresented to the Committee by the Case Officer' as having been carried out by a layman rather than a competent person.

As I understand that the application was once again refused on the basis of noise nuisance, I do not see how the tennis club could have received a fair hearing because of Mr William's remarks. Consequently I am sending a copy of this correspondence to Endon Tennis Club for their information.

I note the motto on your letterhead as "aiming for excellence"; I therefore look forward to receiving your comments on this matter.

Yours sincerely



B T Fisher, MSc, Dip Occ Hyg, MFOH
Consultant Occupational Hygienist

Conclusions From Table 1.

1) Over 150 precise measurements recorded, 6–30 p.m. to 9p.m., Wednesday 4th June 2003.
2) Average Ambient in residents' back gardens = 55 decibels.
3) Back gardens receive nothing more than a few murmurs, 20 – 50 decibels, at dusk in June and July from the Car Parks and match play on courts 1, 2 and 5.


CONTOUR MODEL FOR COURTS
1 AND 5 USING ONLY
dB (MAX) VALUES
FROM TABLE 1
(1)-(27) = SAMPLING LOCATIONS
N
W E
Leek Road (A53)
SCALE 1:500
2mm to 1metre
ENDON TENNIS CLUB
FLOODLIGHTS 1 AND 5
Column with one luminaire.
"GREEN RIDGES" 75 FEET (24 + ORIGINAL 51ft)
24ft BY EXCHANGE
6 FEET (1.83m)
Approx Position of Boundary before 1949 Exchange
Approx. Position 50 Leq(s)
HAWTHORN
CONIFERS
THE MEADOWS
Garage
Privet Screen
PRIVATE FOR 270
CONCRETE STRIP
EMBANKMENT
Boundary approximately 4m from Club House
New Conservatory
CLUB HOUSE
ACCESS FOR MAINTENANCE
End of concrete boundary strip
Shed
ALL WEATHER
RED ASH
3m. approx. SHOUT
TALK
PRESENT 2.4m BALL STOP.
DRAIN
EXISTING 3m
50 Calculated
PROPOSED 1.5m
PROPOSED BALL STOP.
2.5m Ball Stop.
PLOT -David Brown (01782) 534392
"GREEN FIELDS"
outbuilding
AVERAGE AMBIENT = 55 dB
ACCESS
PROPOSED UNDERGROUND CABLE.
Old Car Park
Birch Trees
VEHICULAR
New Car Park
Sub Station
CONIFERS 4m.
MURMURE
LINTHORPE
Garage
Culvert
0.4m. MINIMUM DEPTH OF CABLES
STATION ROAD
H.Q. PARISH COUNCIL
Railway Court
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
47
45
43
41
15
11
9
7
5
1
10
274
272
270
268
266
65
70

4

Greenfields
Station Road
Endon
Staffs
ST9 9DR

24th October 2004

To Whom it May Concern,

REF: FLOODLIGHTS ON TWO COURTS AT ENDON TENNIS CLUB

I have owned/lived at Greenfields since May 1990 and in my experience the members of the tennis club do not create any disturbance or make any unreasonable noise when passing my home and garden.

When the members park at the car park immediately in front of my gates, marked at "P" on the enclosed plan, they do not cause any noise or disturbance to myself either indoors or outdoors.

The general public on Sunday's (attending the Methodist chapel in Station Road) and those parking for the "school runs" in the week use the club's parking facilities far more heavily than the actual members of the tennis club.

Please feel free to contact me should you wish for any further information in this matter.

Yours sincerely,

Marie Pass.

Marie Pass

08/00141/FUL - 5 FEB 2008

Linthorpe
Station Road
Endon
Staffs
ST9 9DR

10 December 2004

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

We have been informed that Endon Tennis Club have approved a further application for floodlights on two courts, one being Court 1 which is the nearest court to the perimeter of our garden.

We have lived at Linthorpe since August 2003 and we can confirm that during that time we have not experienced any disturbance whilst indoors, during the day or night, by play on the court 1.

We can also confirm that any vehicles using the Tennis Club car park, day or night, have not caused any annoyance to us.

Regards

K Holdcroft

I. D. Holdcroft

Mr & Mrs Holdcroft

FUL

Mrs F M Morris
10 Station Road
Endon
Stoke-on-Trent
Staffordshire
ST9 9DR
01782 502546

November 2004

To Whom It May Concern

DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED: Floodlighting on Two Courts

LOCATION: Endon Tennis Courts Station Road Endon Stoke-on-Trent

Regarding the above I would like to communicate to you my support for this application.

I am a resident of Station Road and as such a close neighbour of the Tennis Club, my garden backs on to the Tennis Club, my family and I are also members of the Tennis Club and therefore we can see the proposed floodlighting from two points of view.

As a member of the Tennis Club I feel it is vital for the future of Endon Tennis Club that the application be granted particularly if the club is going to offer good facilities for the youngsters of Endon. My children receive coaching at the club and the lack of floodlighting can be a hindrance to the continuity of their coaching in the winter months.

Having seen floodlighting of a similar nature at other Tennis clubs I feel that the specialised nature of the floodlighting proposed together with the time limits etc proposed by the Tennis Club will mean that there will be no detrimental effect for residents.

We have never been troubled by noise or disturbance from the courts and our children sleep in the rear of the house which overlooks the courts and over the years that we have lived as neighbours with the Tennis Club the children have not been disturbed by a shout or calls from the courts. We have never noticed any foul language being used by adult players and have never had any cause to complain about any kind of nuisance whatsoever.

We have found the Tennis Club to be a good neighbour and we do hope that you will feel able to grant the application.

Yours sincerely

D 1 4 1 FUL S MO

F. Morris

Mrs F M Morris

FAIR COPY, MR. F. TOMKINSON'S LETTER 26/4/01
TO MR. S. WILLIAMS, STAFFORDSHIRE MOORLANDS
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

7, RAILWAY COURT, ENDON.

Dear Sir,

Re Proposed floodlighting Courts 1 and 2 Endon Tennis Club, Station Road, Endon.

My objection to the proposed plans is my concern that living on the East side of the courts our bedrooms and Dining Room would be illuminated during the courts usage. Our floor level being 4-5 ft lower than the courts, have a direct view - despite being hooded - of the lamps.

Courts 1 and 2 are Red Ash and are not used during the Winter Period, so why are lights needed? Is it the intention to change the surface to all weather.

The application states that the perimeter is shielded by trees. We are not, and it would require trees of 15ft or more in height to match the ones to the South of our property to fill in the gaps.

Furthermore, I am assured by Reliable Estate Agents, that if the project were to go ahead, the adverse Value on our property would be considerable.

We bought the property to ensure we would live our retirement in a reasonable quiet area, which so far has met those wishes.

Yours Faithfully,
F. TOMKINSON (Signature.)

- 5 FEB 2008 - S M O
08/00141/ FUL

Further more, I am assured by Reliable Estate Agents, that if the project were to go ahead, the adverse value on our property would be considerable.

We bought the property to ensure we would live our retirement in a reasonable quiet area, which so far has met those wishes.

Yours faithfully
F Tomkinson

08/001411

D.E.L.
-1 MAY 2001
ACK. | PASSED

F Tomkinson 7 Railway Court Endon. Ack/file.
Ref. SLW/01/00344/FUL

26-04-01

Directorate of Environment and Leisure.
MR. S. WILLIAMS.

146
SLW

SMDC DIR DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
DATE 8 MAY 2001
DIR. REPLY

Dear Sir

Re Proposed floodlighting Courts 1 and 2 Endon Tennis Club Station Road Endon.

My objection to the proposed plans is my concern that being on the East side of the courts Our bedrooms and Dining Room would be illuminated during the courts usage. Our floor level being 4-5 ft lower than the courts, have a direct view, despite being Hooded - of the Lamps.

Courts 1 and 2 are Red Ash and are not used during the winter period, so why are lights needed? Is it the intention to change the surface to all weather.

The application - that the perimeter is sheltered by trees? - we are not, and it would require trees of 15 ft or more in height to match the ones too the south of our property to fill in the gaps.

ACK
-8 MAY 2001

15 Railway Court,
Endon
Stoke on Trent
ST9 9ET
24/06/04

Dear Sir,

Ref APP/B3438/A/04/1145174
Endon Tennis Club.

I write to clarify the situation relating to what I am quoted, in the above Appeal, as having said about noise from the Tennis Club i.e. that I am not troubled by it inside my property.

If, and only if, I keep all the external doors and windows of my property closed would it then be correct to say that I can not hear noises produced by the Tennis Club activities. However, this does not apply to the conservatory, at the rear of the property, as said noises penetrate inside even with the door and windows closed.

As in the normal course of residing here windows and doors are frequently open I do thus hear sounds produced by the Tennis Club, the most intrusive being those of coaching sessions.

Whilst I raise no objection to the activities of the Tennis Club during daylight hours for such activities to continue into the hours of darkness, all year round, would be an unacceptable intrusion into the peaceful environment of this residential area.

Yours faithfully
U.M. Jackson
U.M. JACKSON (Miss)

08/00141/ FUL

43 The Meadows
Endon
Stoke on Trent
Staffs

To Whom it may concern

February 21st 2005

Re: Endon Tennis Club

Dear Sirs,

During the 21 years that I have been a resident of The Meadows the close proximity of Endon Tennis Club has never been a problem to me.

The sound from the courts does not cause a disturbance for me, even when sitting out in the garden in the summer, nor is their any problem with noise at any time day or night.

Yours faithfully

Harry Heath

Harry Heath

08/00141/FUL

ENDON TENNIS CLUB 14 AUG 2003
COURTS 1 and 5 T.J. BADDELEY B.Sc.

SOUND EMITTED BY EVENING MATCH PLAY IN JUNE TRAVERSES EVERY BACK GARDEN BELOW THE AVERAGE AMBIENT OF 55 DECIBELS.



P = CAR PARKS

STAFFORDSHIRE
moorlands
DISTRICT COUNCIL
ACHIEVING · EXCELLENCE

PLANNING NOTICE

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AT

Endon Tennis Club
Station Road
Endon
Staffordshire Moorlands
ST9 9DR

The STAFFORDSHIRE MOORLANDS DISTRICT COUNCIL has received a planning application for: -

Proposal:- **Floodlighting of two courts, 1 and 5, by the erection of 12 x 6.7m single luminaire columns**
Applicant:- **Endon Tennis Club**
Application Number:- **08/00141/FUL**

The application can be viewed online at www.staffsmoorlands.gov.uk (click on Public Access Planning)

A copy of the application may be inspected at the Planning Reception, Leek Councils Connect, Moorlands House, Stockwell Street, Leek ST13 6HQ from 8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m. Monday to Thursday and from 8:45 a.m. to 4:45 p.m on Fridays.
Alternatively the application can viewed **strictly by prior arrangement** at the Biddulph Councils Connect and Cheadle Councils Connect. Please ring 0845 605 3013 to make the necessary arrangements, allowing at least 3 days notice.

Any person who wishes to make representations about the application should do so by writing within 28 days of the date on this notice, to the Head of Development Control and Building Control at the above address. Letters will be acknowledged and writers will be notified of the decision reached in due course.

It should be noted that any representations made about the application will be available for public inspection and copy.

Please note the application reference number when writing and telephoning.

The Case Officer is Mr S Williams

DATE:

08/00141/FUL