

[REDACTED]

Sent: 30 November 2025 09:51
To: Planning Comments (SMDC)
Subject: SMD/2025/0243 Objection 10

[REDACTED]

30 November 2025

Dear Sirs,

OBJECTION NUMBER 10 to application number SMD/2025/0243

Site address LAND EAST OF MANIFOLD DRIVE AND SOUTH OF RAKEWAY ROAD

FLOOD RISK MAINTENANCE STRATEGY NOT ACCEPTABLE

Comments updated in light of revised Flood Risk Assessment & Drainage Strategy

The document 14911-FRA & Drainage Strategy-06 Part 1, page 14, section Maintenance, states "The proposed surface water drainage system... will be the responsibility of the site owner"

Should STW or a NAV not adopt the site, then responsibility remains with the site owner. The matter of who would own the site and be responsible for the surface water drainage system is not addressed.

Furthermore, Appendix K states that pool volume is allowed to reduce by 20% in the "big ponds" before sediment removal is required. This effectively means the surface water solution can degrade and lose performance from day one. This is simply unacceptable. The recommendations also state to undertake contamination testing once build-up has occurred to then decide to disposal options. This is an environmental risk that has not been addressed elsewhere in the application and should not be allowed. The entire proposed flood risk solution is simply not suitable for this application.

The application as a whole remains incomplete and only serves its own purpose. It completely fails to address the impact of the existing community who can only lose if this is allowed to proceed.

I remain deeply concerned with this point and urge that this proposal be rejected.

Yours faithfully,

Darren Whitehead & Joanne Johnson