



From: Paul Housiaux

Sent: 18 August 2025 15:21

To: Subject: CEO, FOIA Request Moneystone Quarry

CEO,

SMDC LPA,
Moorland House,
Stockwell Street,
Leek,
ST10 6HQ

18th.August 2025.

Dear Sir,

Freedom of Information Act request- Moneystone Quarry and related Planning Application and documentation.- SMD/2019/0646 & SMD/2023/0532.

1.Does the Case Officer Mrs.J.Curley and the SMDC LPA accept that on the 14/05/2025 @09:51 they received from Josh Thomas an email letter replying Mrs. Curley's email letter of 13/05/2024@12:24 and does she confirm that she read and understood the contents of Mr. 's reply to her Enquiry ?

2.Does SMDC LPA accept that on the 14th.May 2025 the Developers of Moneystone Quarry had already lodged an Appeal to PINS which had be allocated the Reference number APP/B3438/W/24/3344014 and that a Planning Inspector had been appointed to hear the Appeal? Does the Case Officer and the LPA accept that they had thereafter a duty to PINS and the Inspector?

3?Does the Case Officer Mrs.J.Curley and through her the SMDC LPA accept that in dealing with legal and procedural issues arising once the Appeal had been formally validated by PINS and allotted both a planning reference number and an Inspector had been appointed to determine the Appeal, the Case Officer Mrs.J.Curley and other Planning and Executive Officers had a duty of Compliance with Nolan Principles of those persons holding public Office and also owed to the Inspector and through the Inspector the public it serves, a duty of Candor so as to ensure that in hearing the Appeal the Inspector could fully and accurately understand with precision how the SMDC LPA had reached its decision?

4.Does the SMDC LPA accept that during the period when they were dealing with SMD/2029/0646 and its subsequent appeal they owed a duty to the public that complied with the requirements of its adopted Statement of Community Involvement throughout the planning process?

Does SMDC LPA and the Case Officer Mrs. J. Curley accept that in preparing and making her recommendations to members of the SMDC LPA PAC she, as a holder of a public office owed a duty to disclose all matters that did, or would, or might bear upon her duty and through her the duty of the PAC to ‘ **properly weigh the planning balance?**

5.Had Mrs. Curley fully familiarized herself with the detailed planning issues that would need to be addressed by her and the LPA during the planning Appeal APP//B3438/W/24/3344014?

6.Please confirm that by the 27/05/2025 Mrs. Curley understood that the PAC members who heard the Application SMD/2019/0646 and refused it, had raised concerns about whether the ‘ Lodges’ the Developers proposed to place within the MQ site in Q1,Q2, &Q3 we're in fact not lodges but in reality Caravans and, did she also know that PAC members had voiced concerns at the hearing that the ‘ Lodges’ were not of such high standards of quality and design and fell so far below that standard that the LPA had by letter officially made their views known to the Applicants/ Appellants?

(Please identify the named persons/ planning agents and or the Officers of Laver

Leisure(Oskamoor) Ltd. together with dates etc and provide copies of all correspondence arising after the refusal of planning permission in respect of SMD/2019/0646 that covered all areas of concern.)

7.Does the Case Officer Mrs. Curley accept that as at 14/05/2025 the Applicant/ Appellants had not submitted FFL/ Elevation Plans?

8.Does the Case Officer accept that if she was to ‘ properly weigh the planning balance’ and was to meet her Nolan obligations to PAC members at the hearing of SMD/2019/0646 and subsequently meet also the SMDC LPA’s duty of Candor in the subsequent Planning Appeal and, knowing as she did, that the necessary FFL/Elevation plans *had not been supplied until the 14/05/2025* the permitting the hearing of the SMD/2019/0646 Planning Application to continue when she must have known as a Planning expert that the FFL/Elevstion Plans were essential if she and the PAC were to make a proper planning balance on (eg) such matters as the Developers intentions as to its Elevation plans , Layout, Size etc.

9.Does Mrs. Curly accept that when she prepared and subsequently delivered her Report to members of the PAC when SMD/2019/0646 was listed for hearing she was not and could not be in a position to ‘ properly weigh the planning balance on all the required issues of Design and Access Statement, plus any required mitigation measures, size, layout etc. Put simply does Mrs. Curley now accept that it was impossible for her as at the date of the hearing of SMD/2019/0646 to accept that the Developers had met the required Authorities requirements as to any Design and Access Statements?

10?Does the SMDC LPA and Mrs. Curley also now accept that in the subsequent preparation for the Inspectors Appeal APP/B3438/W/24/3344014 she and the LPA owed a duty to disclose what she and the LPA knew about the Developers failure to provide the necessary FFL/Elevation Plans?

11.Does the Case Officer Mrs.J. Curley accept and through her does the SMDC LPA accept that on the 27/05/2025 @14:46 the Authority did not have a Site Layout plan for all the lodges? **Quote ” I still don’t have a Site Layout Plan with FFL’s for all the lodges”**

12.Does Mrs. Curley accept in an email sent by on behalf to the Appellants dated 27th May 2025 the Appellants had “ not addressed ’s concerns.”

Please acknowledge these FOIA requests and arrange for them to be displayed on the public planning file.

Yours sincerely,
Paul Housiaux
Whiston Resident.
18/08/2025.

Sent from my iPad

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This email is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential information that could be protectively marked. If you are not the addressee please do not use the information in

any way. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Thank you.

The Council may be required to disclose this email or any responses to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The way in which we handle personal information is set out in our privacy notice and is available at <https://www.staffsmoorlands.gov.uk/YourData>

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This email is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential information that could be protectively marked. If you are not the addressee please do not use the information in any way. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Thank you.

The Council may be required to disclose this email or any responses to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The way in which we handle personal information is set out in our privacy notice and is available at <https://www.highpeak.gov.uk/YourData>
