

From: [Michael Walsh](#)
To: [Planning Comments \(SMDC\)](#)
Subject: Re: Comment submitted for Planning application
Date: 28 May 2025 21:52:17

Hi

Please remove my below objection this has been posted on the wrong application by mistake.

This objection was intended for a different application ref / SMD/20250212

Apologies in advance
Michael walsh

> On 27 May 2025, at 22:56, planningcomments@staffs Moorlands.gov.uk wrote:
>
> Thank you for submitting your comments on the planning application.
> This is an acknowledgement to confirm they have been received by the planning department and will be processed within the next 5 working days.
> Please find a copy of your submitted comments below.
>
> Application Reference No. : SMD/2025/0251
> Site Address: Horton Barn Eaves Lane Armshead Staffordshire ST2 8NA Stoke-On-Trent
> Comments by: Michael WalshMW Gas Services
> From:
> Orchard View Cottage, 281 Eaves Lane,
> Norton
> Werrington
> Stoke-on-Trent
> Staffordshire
> ST2 8LY
> Phone: 07931353022
> Email: walshmichael11@gmail.com
> Submission: Objection
> Comments: I am a resident of Eaves Lane. I object unreservedly to the planning application proposed for a number of reasons.
>
>
>
> The site is situated on a green belt area and an area of natural beauty. This has already been blighted by the erection of a 2 metre high fence which is not in keeping with the surrounding area. Admittedly the site was unkempt for a number of years, but I completely fail to see how this proposal would benefit or improve the area and local community in any way.
>
> The site is not served by sewage services and therefore it raises huge environmental impact concerns.
>
> The lane has a number of horse schools which regularly use the lane, also many ramblers wander up to enjoy the natural beauty of the lane and Wetley Moor Common which is a protected area. A site, such as the one being proposed, would detrimentally effect the traffic on the lane. In many parts of the lane it is impassable for 2 vehicles. The proximity to a very tight bend makes this even worse.
>
> We have many young families in the area and many animals who would be put in danger by the extra traffic and size of vehicles which would be passing through a lane which has very little infrastructure.
>
> The proposed transitory use of the land also raises concerns about the potential for crime and disorder in the area.

>

> I respectfully object to the new proposal.

>

>

>

>