

From: [Ben Haywood](#)
To: [Planning Comments \(SMDC\)](#)
Subject: Fw: Late Representation NMA/2025/0009
Date: 22 May 2025 07:18:13

A close-up of a logo

Description automatically generated



From: Debbie Harrison
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2025 3:01:22 AM
To: Planning (SMDC) ; Haywood, Ben (SMDC)
; Jane Curley
Subject: Late Representation NMA/2025/0009

For the attention of the Planning Committee.

Firstly just to clarify - At the previous NMA for this application Cllr Tony Holmes asked the applicant Ameresco not once but twice why there was a need for so many changes? He stated that it was a straight forward simple question & twice the applicant failed to answer, so many changes yet neither of the two representatives for Ameresco could answer this simple question, did they not know?

The reason given on the application form for that particular NMA which was approved was that it was not deliverable in it's current form.

Moving on to this current application for yet another NMA - It's noted in the Planning Officers report that this attenuation pond remains within the red boundary line, surely that's similar to a property boundary line & saying yes that's ok put another building further away because it remains within the red boundary line?

The inappropriate siting of this attenuation pond is too close to residents boundaries & the highway & the change is not minor it's significant.

Under the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 Section 96A if the change is likely to have amenity, highways or other impacts, if it's an increase in size or clearly changes the appearance of a development or conflicts with conditions imposed it is not likely to be non-material.

The planning application for this pond does have amenity, highways & other impacts, it's increasing the development in size & clearly changing the appearance which makes it not likely to be non-material.

The applicant must notify any landowner whose land will be affected as with any planning application & I would like to make you aware that the affected local residents have not been notified.

The planning committee must be satisfied that the amendment is non-material in order to grant the application & the local planning authority must have regard to the effect of the change together with any previous changes made under Section 96A.

If a change is material then the correct approach is to submit a new planning application.

Finally this application still does not meet current Fire & Safety recommendations because it only has one access route off a country road with narrow blind bends which is single traffic only in parts & in lite of the recent events involving the loss of 2 very brave fire fighters this is extremely serious so for the safety of others this lack of consideration could quite easily be corrected to save another tragedy occurring.

In conclusion I trust that the planning councillors will consider these points seriously in making their decisions.

Kind Regards.

Sent from my iPhone

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This email is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential information that could be protectively marked. If you are not the addressee please do not use the information in any way. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Thank you.

The Council may be required to disclose this email or any responses to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The way in which we handle personal information is set out in our privacy notice and is available at <https://www.highpeak.gov.uk/YourData>
