

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B3438/W/25/3359213

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/B3438/W/25/3359213

Appeal By MS. JESSICA JONES

Site Address
Land off Caverswall Road
Caverswall
Nr Stoke on Trent
Staffordshire
ST11 9EL
Grid Ref Easting: 394447
Grid Ref Northing: 343420

SENDER DETAILS

Name MR BEN VILJOEN

Address
3 the green
caverswall
Stoke-On-Trent
Staffordshire
ST11 9EQ

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground

☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence

☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I'm writing as a concerned local citizen about the dog field and all the extra traffic and issues it will bring to the small peaceful village. The local pub that is only a few yards away from the field have a lot of funeral wakes, christenings and birthday parties during which the car park always over flows. Traffic and parking is already a problem, but on those days cars park down the road on both sides. When you add the scout hut parents and children, that is next to the field, on top of that it makes it almost impossible for traffic to get around. Especially when it's dark because there is no street lights within 100 yards either side of the field. The waste bin outside the field gate by the bus shelter overflows almost weekly for days on end and that's without the dog waste from the field that the customers will be adding on top of that. The owners of the field state that they would like to work with the community of the village but after refusal of the application last time, they went against it and rented the field out. When approached about it they became very aggressive and abusive. I was under the impression that they should not be adding extra things to the field that are not on the application request whilst the appeal is in process. They recently added an additional fence and gate but it still won't stop any dogs escaping to the street where the bus stop is only 10 yards away and the scout hut, that is adjoining to the field, is full of children. God forbid if someone's child gets attacked from a dangerous dog. I understand that this is just a way to get the greenbelt land removed so they can build on it in the future but I don't think this is the right way of doing this, especially at the safety of others.

COMMENT DOCUMENTS

The documents listed below were uploaded with this form:

Relates to Section: REPRESENTATION
Document Description: Your comments on the appeal.
File name: IMG_6578.jpeg
File name: IMG_7174.jpeg
File name: IMG_8341.jpeg
File name: IMG_8338.jpeg

PLEASE ENSURE THAT A COPY OF THIS SHEET IS ENCLOSED WHEN POSTING THE ABOVE DOCUMENTS TO US