

From: [Darren Colclough](#)
To: [Planning Comments \(SMDC\)](#)
Subject: Ref.- SMD/2025/0051
Date: 22 February 2025 17:00:29

Dear Sir/Madam,

For what it's worth, I am writing to protest this age change as it goes against what was agreed reluctantly upon when we were rode rough shod last time. We presented a quite compelling argument against the proposed planning using only council data and planning regulations as we were told. Only for a Mr Parkes to put on what can only described as royal variety performance (shaking his head, gesticulating and interrupting) very emotive and intimidating, anyone would think he had a vested interest in the proposing company. We would have loved to put forward our case like this but were told that was a no no. For instance this company at the time had no homes that were Offsted approved making them illegal according to government regulations. Mr Edwards lied on his form saying he owned the property only for his partner to say they had a ten year leasehold. When checked though later no mention of a leasehold on the government site. I could go on about this company/companies as they have many businesses. Lots of reports (links available) going around regarding councils paying millions of tax payers money on illegal, unregistered children's homes with no children in them. Popping up in all areas with the people who own these properties making a fortune. It is a scam and mark my words just the tip of this scandal.

A very unhappy/disgruntled council tax payer

Mr Colclough.