

From: [SheilaWalters](#)
To: [Jane Curley](#); [Planning \(SMDC\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: SMD/2023/0532 further objection on inadmissibility of new EIA
Date: 24 January 2025 13:52:52
Attachments: [Screenshot 2023-02-15 at 21.08.39.png](#)

Dear Mrs Curley

I wrote to you on the 8th January on behalf of CVCS as shown below by way of another objection to the application but it seems that it has still not yet been registered on the SMDC website contrary to the usual procedure. Please would you investigate and ensure it is publicly available.
Thank you.

David Walters
Secretary
Churnet Valley Conservation Society
CVCS

Begin forwarded message:

From: SheilaWalters
Subject: **SMD/2023/0532 further objection on inadmissibility of new EIA**
Date: 8 January 2025 at 12:45:08 GMT
To: Jane Curley



Dear Mrs Curley,

Re the new EIA for SMD/2023/0532 - further objection on inadmissibility of new EIA

I refer to your email of the 25th November 2024 and the justification letter to you from Asteer dated 31st October 2024 in respect of the above.

It is clear that the inclusion of the three volume new EIA on this application is unacceptable in law for a number of reasons which we will point out in further objections but principally here that it has no relevance to this application as it states clearly in the opening paragraphs of the document itself.

eg EIA Vol 1 introduction

1.2 The ES Addendum relates specifically to a 'Phase 1' Reserved Matters Application (RMA) (hereafter referred to as the 'Phase 1 RMA'), that is now the subject of an appeal (ref: APP/B3438/W/24/3344014) and follows a request for 'Further Information' pursuant to Regulation 25 of the Town and Country Planning Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations (as amended) (hereafter referred to as the 'EIA Regulations').

It was expressly for the use of the inspector and his recent Appeal. It came into being months after the application for 0532 was validated and did not and could not apply to 0532 at that time as evidenced by the written statement on record.

It is therefore inadmissible and should not be considered.

Likewise we note also that the new EIA cover letter from Asteer in October 2024 states

"It has now been confirmed via email from the Planning Inspectorate on October 7th 2024 that no 'further information' pursuant to the ES Addendum through the issue of a further Regulation 25 direction is required."

Again that statement is clearly to do with the Inspector's view in the context of his Inquiry into RMA 0646.

Please add this to the list of objections having accepted the argument and by way of confirmation.

Many thanks

David Walters
Secretary
Churnet Valley Conservation Society
CVCS