

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/B3438/W/24/3351328

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/B3438/W/24/3351328

Appeal By ANESCO LTD

Site Address
Land Off Bemersley Road
Brown Edge
Staffordshire
ST6 8UL

SENDER DETAILS

Name MR DARREN MCGRATH

Address
359 Bemersley Rd
Stoke On Trent
ST6 8UL

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Opposition Letter to Proposed Development

I am writing to formally object to the proposed development at bemersley

my objection is based on the significant adverse impacts this project will have on the local environment, public safety, and the community's quality of life.

1. Impact on Wildlife

The proposed site is located in or near an area rich in wildlife, serving as a habitat for., birds, mammals, and amphibians. The development would disrupt these ecosystems by destroying habitats, increasing human activity, and introducing pollutants. Any loss of biodiversity would be irreversible and conflicts with local and national commitments to environmental conservation.

2. Noise Nuisance

The construction and operation phases of this development will generate substantial noise. This will affect local residents and disturb wildlife, particularly nocturnal species. Prolonged exposure to elevated noise levels will degrade the tranquil nature of the area, impacting both mental well-being and property values.

3. Fire Hazard

The site is in an area prone to, e.g., dry conditions or dense vegetation], which increases the likelihood of fire hazards during and after development. Construction activities such as heavy machinery operation and material storage could exacerbate these risks. Emergency response capabilities may also be insufficient to mitigate such hazards effectively.

4. Impact on Natural Beauty of the Landscape

The proposed development would detract from the natural beauty of the area, altering its character and scenic views. This would have long-term consequences for the community and for visitors who appreciate the area's rural charm. The introduction of large structures, machinery, and artificial lighting is incompatible with the existing landscape.

5. Threat to Nearby Water Sources

The site's proximity to [specific water source,,.,the canal feeder] raises concerns about potential contamination from construction run-off, sediment displacement, and hazardous materials. Protecting water quality is critical for local ecosystems, agriculture, and residents who depend on these resources.

6. Inadequate Road Infrastructure

The local road network is ill-equipped to support the heavy goods vehicles (HGVs) required for construction. The increased traffic would lead to congestion, damage to road