



Arboricultural Report

**Construction of 10 bungalows, parking and landscaping
Land off Holt Lane
Kingsley
Staffordshire**

Commissioned by:
David Byatt
Oliver Byatt Ltd

Surveyed and reported on by:
David Bailey
BSc(hons), M.Arbor.A, cert.Arb(RFS)

Report Date:
2 October 2024

50 Chestnut Road, Loggerheads, Market Drayton, Shropshire TF9 4BL

Tel: 01630 673216

Mob: 07813 808174

Web: www.oldoaktreecare.co.uk

email: oldoaktree@talktalk.net



Contents

		Page
1.0	Summary	2
2.0	Instructions	3
3.0	Preliminary matters	3
4.0	Method of survey	4
5.0	Site details	4
6.0	Summary of trees	5
7.0	Arboricultural impact assessment	6
8.0	Tree protection	7
9.0	Conclusion	8

Appendix A:	Tree constraint plan	PC23/716/TCP
Appendix B:	Tree protection plan	PC23/716/TPP
Appendix C:	Tree categorisation	Table 1 of BS5837:2012
Appendix D:	Protective Fencing	Figures 2&3 of BS5837
Appendix E:	Tree Schedule with key	

1.0 Summary

- 1.1 *The proposal is to build 10 bungalows, parking and landscaping on a paddock next to the village of Kingsley.*

- 1.2 *There are two category B and five category C trees on, or next to the site. In addition to this there are two category B and one category B groups.*

- 1.3 *One category C tree should be removed and two category B trees should have some crown work carried out to provide good garden space for several properties.*

- 1.4 *The amenity provided by trees to the area will not be significantly harmed. A number of replacement trees are planned which will, once established, provide an increase in the amenity provided by trees to the area.*

- 1.5 *Correctly placed protective fencing will ensure retained trees can be suitably protected during the build.*

- 1.6 *The proposal is arboriculturally sound and complies with BS5837.*

2.0 Instructions

- 2.1 I am instructed by David Byatt of Byatt Oliver Ltd to carry out a survey at land off Holt Lane, Kingsley and record all significant trees that may be affected by the proposed development.
- 2.2 The proposal is to construct 10 new bungalows, parking and landscaping on a field adjacent to the village of Kingsley.
- 2.3 There are a number of trees on or next to the site. Consideration is to be made to justify any that require removal or work to them and to ensure retained trees are not significantly damaged by the proposal.
- 2.4 I am to produce a tree report to support the planning application. The report is to be compliant with BS5837:2012¹ hereafter referred to as BS5837.

3.0 Preliminary matters

- 3.1 The survey and report cover only arboricultural matters relating to trees that may be affected by the development. It deals with identifying the benefits and constraints trees will impose upon the development site, which trees will need to be removed and how the remaining specimens can be protected and how retained trees will affect the site.
- 3.2 Statutory protection of trees, either tree preservation orders (TPOs), conservation area status or historical planning conditions has not been thoroughly investigated. However, viewing the Council's interactive planning map², no tree preservation orders were identified. To be sure of no TPOs being in place, contact with the Council's tree department is recommended.
- 3.3 Plans supplied to myself:

- i) Topographical survey, site plans and proposal supplied by Byatt Oliver Ltd.

Plans I have produced to accompany this report:

- i) Tree Constraints Plan PC23/716/TCP
- ii) Tree Protection Plan PC23/716/TPP

¹ BS5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction: Recommendations – British Standards Institute

² SMDC Interactive Planning Map: <https://www.staffs Moorlands.gov.uk/smdc-aurora> (note caveats on planning map) accessed 2 October 2024. SMDC Tree Department 01538 395788 trees@staffs Moorlands.gov.uk



- 3.4 Where buildings are constructed close to trees, reference should be made to the NHBC Standards 2024³. This document, updated yearly, gives appropriate foundation depths for buildings close to trees. It is recommended that the soil's modified plasticity index is investigated to consider the likelihood of soil movement with moisture change. This will allow the appropriate foundation depth to be calculated.
- 3.5 The data, views and opinions of this report relate to the survey undertaken on the date shown and does not take into account the effects of extreme weather conditions, vandalism or accidental damage. Neither can the effects of poorly executed tree surgery work, not complying to current good practice, be predicted. Old Oak Tree Care cannot accept liability in connection with these factors. This report requires renewal in two years from the date of survey, or as soon as site conditions, tree health or tree structural conditions significantly alter.

4.0 Method of survey

- 4.1 The survey was undertaken on 24 September 2024.
- 4.2 Trees were surveyed to measure height, trunk diameter, crown spread and height, health, structural condition, estimated remaining life expectancy and overall quality. This and other information was gathered to comply with section 4.4.2 of BS5837. Trees were categorised utilising the same standard, see Appendix C.
- 4.3 Stem diameters were measured using a surveyor's tape. Crown spreads and heights were measured using a laser rangefinder. Heights were estimated using the height function of the laser rangefinder.
- 4.4 All measurements and observations were made from the ground and no soil or tissue samples were taken. Although significant visually apparent hazards within trees will be identified and commented upon, the survey is not a dedicated health and safety survey of the identified trees.
- 4.5 Where trees could not be reached when off site or inaccessible, dimensions were estimated.

5.0 Site details

- 5.1 The site is a paddock of land on the edge of Kingsley where a portion of its roadside element has had new bungalows built on it.

³ National House Builders Council Standards 2024 Part 4, Chapter 2 Building near trees <https://nhbc-standards.co.uk/>

- 5.2 To the north and east are agricultural fields. In a southerly direction, beyond new bungalows is Holt Road. To the west is a mature group of houses within the village.
- 5.3 The level of the land is approximately flat.
- 5.4 There are several trees on the periphery of the site. The majority are garden trees or self-set boundary trees
- 5.5 Viewing the Cranfield soil map⁴, it appears that the soil is freely draining, slightly acid and loamy. Soil of this kind provides a good medium for tree growth. The modified plasticity index of the soil is not known.

6.0 Summary of trees

- 6.1 All data gathered on trees is supplied in appendix E. Table 1 and 2 below give a summary of the information.

Category	Description of category	Number
A	High quality with an estimated remaining life span of at least 40 years. Particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual. They will be visually important and may have significant conservation or historical values.	0
B	Moderate quality and expected to remain between 20 to 40 years. Might have been included as a category A but downgraded by impaired conditions. Possibly lacking special qualities to be regarded as Category A. Group which collectively increase its value from C to B or a particularly effective screen.	2
C	Low quality with an expected lifespan of 10 to 20 years or below 150mm in diameter. Unremarkable trees, either young, impaired or poor species. Unlikely to increase in quality as time goes by. No conservation or cultural value.	5
U	Those in such a condition that they cannot be realistically retained as living trees for longer than 10 years. Serious structural or physiological problems. Also dead trees.	0
Group	Trees of similar species, size or character which are grouped together. The number of the group is given together with the categorisation.	2 – B 1 – C
Hedge	Groups of trees planted in lines as a hedge. Trees originally planted as a hedge but have not been managed in some time, reverting back to a line of trees. The number of the hedge is given together with its categorisation.	0

Table 1: Number of categorised trees, groups and hedges. A brief description of categorisation together with colour coding. Appendix E gives full detail.

⁴ Cranfield Soil and Agrifood Institute Soilscales. www.landis.org.uk/soilscales/

- 6.2 A brief description of pertinent data relating to all trees is given in table 2. A key to the table is found below it.

No.	Species	Height	Stem Dia.	Cat.	Comment
Sp1	Spruce	14	400	C	rear garden tree, has been topped
Sp2	Spruce	14	400	C	rear garden tree, has been topped
Sy3	Sycamore	15	625	B	one stem has basal decay but is occluding well
Ok4	Oak	13	600	B	0
Ch5	Cherry	10	100	C	0
As6	Ash	13	300	C	10% crown death from ash dieback
As7	Ash	13	300	C	10% crown death from ash dieback
G8	Group	15	300	C	line of cypress macrocarpa
G9	Group	14	400	B	Group of oak, ash sycamore and silver birch
G10	Group	10	300	B	Line of ash, silver birch and willow

Table 2: Summary of trees (Key below)

No: Tree identifier using letters to indicate species and a sequential number.
G indicates a group, H indicates a hedge.

Species: Tree species using the common name.

Height: Height in metres.

Stem Dia: Stem diameter measured at 1.5 metres from the ground in millimetres.

Cat: Category according to BS5837. See Appendix C for details on categorisation.

Note: Numbers for sub-categorisation are not used.

Comments: Comments, if necessary. 0 if none.

7.0 Arboricultural impact assessment

- 7.1 While no tree's root protection area (RPA) or crown spreads will be encroached upon by the proposed buildings, it is recommended that some tree work is carried out to allow a better juxtaposition between trees and the buildings.
- 7.2 As7 is a category C early mature ash which is in the early stages of ash dieback. While not at a stage where its removal is required, this is likely to occur within 1 to 2 years given over 95% of ashes are expected to die from this disease. Removal of this tree will allow the garden of the proposed property to have more space and allow more direct sunlight into the garden to the north .
- 7.3 Branches from category B trees Sy3 and Ok4 stretch considerably into the site. and are quite close to the ground. By raising the crown of both of these trees from 2m and 3m respectively to 5m above the ground and reducing them by 2m on their eastern aspect where they overhand the prospective gardens will allow

considerable space within the gardens while keeping branches well away from buildings.

- 7.4 The effect on trees to the character and appearance of the area following this work will be minimal. The majority of trees will remain in place and the overall shape of trees worked upon will not be considerably altered by what is proposed.
- 7.5 While one tree will be lost, there is plenty of space to replant with native species that can provide long lasting amenity to the area. Once established the amenity provided by trees to the area will be increased. Appendix B shows the location where these trees can be planted.
- 7.6 No other trees will be affected by the development.

8.0 Tree protection

- 8.1 Appendix A and B show the category of trees, their crown spread and their root protection area (RPA), an area of rooting around the tree which if retained will provide suitable medium for the tree to continue to grow without significant harm.
- 8.2 RPAs do not show the entire rooting area of the tree. They may show just $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{3}$ of it depending upon site conditions. The RPA is usually drawn as a circle 12 times the diameter of the tree at 1.5m from the ground or, for multiple stemmed trees, its mathematical equivalent given in BS5837. However, site conditions may require the RPA to be drawn differently depending upon the most likely area where good rooting is found for the tree. In this case, there are no observed significant impediments to circular root growth.
- 8.3 Protected RPAs are to be kept clear of refuse, materials, fuels and chemicals. These items are to be prevented from leaking into RPAs, either above or below ground.
- 8.4 Protective fencing should be in place before any plant, materials, deliveries, site offices or skips arrive. No demolition or construction work is to take place until protective fencing is installed and approved for use.
- 8.5 Protective fencing similar to that presented in BS5837, shown in Appendix D, is to be used to protect RPAs from vehicular and foot access. The fencing is to be securely joined and attached to immovable objects to prevent it from being moved. Fencing should be firmly attached into the ground and will require tools to move it.
- 8.6 The distance trees should be from the trunk of trees is shown by a purple arrow in appendix B.

- 8.7 Services should not enter the RPA of trees on the site and there is plenty of room to position them. However, should a service trench need to be dug within the RPA of a tree then a site-specific method statement for this operation will need to be produced.

9.0 Conclusion

- 9.1 The proposal is to build 10 bungalows, parking and landscaping on a paddock next to the village of Kingsley.
- 9.2 There are two category B and five category C trees on, or next to the site. In addition to this there are two category B and one category B groups.
- 9.3 One category C tree should be removed and two category B trees should have some crown work carried out to provide good garden space for several properties.
- 9.4 The amenity provided by trees to the area will not be significantly harmed. A number of replacement trees are planned which will, once established, provide an increase in the amenity provided by trees to the area.
- 9.5 Correctly placed protective fencing will ensure retained trees can be suitably protected during the build.
- 9.6 The proposal is arboriculturally sound and complies with BS5837.



Appendix A

Land to the north of Holt Lane, Kingsley
Staffordshire

Tree Constraints Plan
Showing all trees, crown dimensions, tree categories
and root protection areas (RPAs)

SCALE : 1 : 500 @ A3 DATE : 03/10/2024



David Bailey Old Oak Tree Care 01630 673216
07813 808174 oldoaktree@talktalk.net



©2024 Old Oak Tree Care
This plan is to be reproduced in colour
B+W copies will not contain all information

Map reference:
PC24/716/TCP

Legend





Appendix B

Land to the north of Holt Lane, Kingsley,
Staffordshire

Tree Protection Plan
Showing all retained trees, crown dimensions, tree categories,
root protection areas and protective fencing

SCALE :
1 : 500 @ A3 DATE :
03/10/2024

David Bailey Old Oak Tree Care 01630 673216
07813 808174 oldoaktree@talktalk.net



©2024 Old Oak Tree Care
This plan is to be reproduced in colour
B+W copies will not contain all information

Map reference:
PC24/716/TPP

Legend




Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)	Identification on plan																					
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)																							
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	- Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) - Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline - Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <i>NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.</i>	See Table 2																					
Trees to be considered for retention																							
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>1 Mainly arboricultural qualities</th><th>2 Mainly landscape qualities</th><th>3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years</td></tr> <tr> <td>Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)</td><td>Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features</td><td>Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years</td></tr> <tr> <td>Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation</td><td>Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality</td><td>Trees with material conservation or other cultural value</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3">Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm</td></tr> <tr> <td>Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories</td><td>Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits</td><td>Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value</td></tr> </tbody> </table>			1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation	Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years			Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years			Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value	Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm			Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value
1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation																					
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years																							
Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)																					
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years																							
Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value																					
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm																							
Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value																					

Figure 2 Default specification for protective barrier





Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems



Appendix E Tree Schedule - Key

Where measurements are estimated due to lack of access or vegetation, a * denotes this.

Tree Number -	Identification for specific tree. Using a couple of letters to help with species identification together with a number. Groups or hedges will be identified as Gr.
Species-	Tree species, using common name.
Height-	Taken using angular triangulation function of a laser rangefinder.
Diameter-	Taken by measuring circumference with a tape measure and applying Pi equation or by measuring circumference by eye if entire circumference is not accessible (in this case denoted by *).
Crown spread-	Radius of crown at four cardinal points, north, east, south and west measured by laser rangefinder. (Estimates denoted with a *).
Crown height-	Height of lowest branch at each cardinal point. Measured by laser rangefinder. (Estimates denoted with a *).
Age bracket-	Estimated life stage of tree ranging from young, mid-aged, early-mature, mature and over-mature.
Physiological condition-	Assessment of health and vitality of the tree. Good, fair, poor or dead. Fair or poor will have more details attached.
Structural condition-	Assessment of physical structure of tree. Good, fair, poor or dead. Fair or poor will have more details attached.
Years remaining-	Estimate of likely useful life of tree taking into account age, species, character, situation and likely management requirements.
Quality assessment-	Subjective assessment. Either A-very good, B-good, C-reasonable or U-unsuitable for retention. See appendix C for more details.
RPA radius-	Positioning of root protection area (RPA) measured from centre of the tree to the radius. This is for circular RPAs. Where RPAs have been adjusted for site conditions and are no longer circular this value will not be correct.
RPA area-	Area of RPA irrespective of its shape.
Notes:	Any notable comments on group make up, physiological, structural condition or notable features.

[illegible]