
From: planningcomments@staffs Moorlands.gov.uk
Sent: 04 July 2024 09:58
To: Planning Comments (SMDC)
Subject: Comment Received from Public Access

Categories:

Application Reference No. : SMD/2024/0227 Site Address: Barn off Heath House Lane Lower Tean Staffordshire
ST10 4HA Comments by: JACQUELINE ANNE RUSHTONNone - Student
From:

Submission: Objection
Comments: I object to this application.

Firstly the initial planning granted was granted on dubious grounds, as I have never seen any agricultural activity on this land, nor any real agricultural use of the so called shed, which as we all recall was erected without any planning permission in the first place.

Now the applicant wishes to extend the "barn ", bit of mission creep sliding in at this point. Next it will be for a further extension, still with no sign of agricultural activity on this land.

Furthermoew, this proposed rebuild of the "barn" in situated next to the historic parklands of Heath House Lane, and also forms one of the protected views, as established by the Neighbourhood plan. It is also situated next to a Historic lodge house.

This development is also taking place well outside defined village boundaries as established by the recent Neighbourhood plan.

I noted that during the hearing for the current permission, one of the planning committee commented that it should be granted as we need to provide housing for younger people involved in agriculture, indeed we do , but firstly it would be nice for agricultural activities to be taking place in the first instance.

The entire situation around these applications has been a cynical attempt to manipulate planning laws around a particular piece of planning law, and I am aware the the planning was originally granted against the advice of the planning officer responsible for the case.

The planning grounds, that the planning premission was originally granted appear to be dubious enough, without further offence being granted by the planning committee. This application is asking for substantial changes to the original planning for the original "barn". This amounts to more of a complete rebuild. If this is granted it is setting a difficult precedence.

This land was clearly purchased with a view to future development, when it is clearly agricultural land that should remain as just that.

I ask that this application should be dismissed.