
Sent: 25 March 2024 10:13
To: Planning Comments (SMDC)
Subject: Planning application:SMD/2024/0076

I am writing to lodge my objection to the planning application for 50, Coleridge Drive, Cheadle I live at 48, Coleridge Drive and have many objections to the proximity of the proposed home for children with emotional and behavioural difficulties.

My first issue is the fact that SMDC chose not to inform myself or other neighbours of the planning application when it was first applied for on 14th February. Luckily neighbours found out about the proposal and we were able to lodge our objections on the planning site. SMDC then decided to inform us by letter on the 8th March, giving me 21 days to again lodge my views. I received my letter on the 19th March, giving me 10 days. This doesn't instil my trust in said council.

Having read the planning application i have very little trust in the applicant E&E care. There are many inaccuracies in their application, where they have made comments re local amenities . Such as: buses and bus stops, local police stations, local ambulance station, leisure facilities, local walk in centre which are inaccurate and lies, showing a lack of knowledge about the local area and its facilities. It doesn't put trust in them and i worry about their running of the home, as they seem to be more a money making business rather than a provider of care.

The property is sited on a residential estate on a road that is close to a bend and a junction. Parking is a problem already and hazardous. The said proposal states there will be 3 staff members plus a pool car meaning 4 extra cars will be parking at the property, plus any visitors to the property, which at present doesn't have a dropped kerb so residents have to drive over kerb to get onto parking space's , apart from original drive. Also the type of residents of the home with EBD will have a high risk of harm to themselves and others. This will increase traffic flow with calls to police and ambulance services, not only from the home but local residents too.

Local emergency services are stretched in the area already as the police confirmed at the local community police commissioner meeting, with police citing a 45minute response time to incidents in the area. Living next door to this property with a wooden fence separating our garden i feel extremely vulnerable to these risks from the behaviour problems and slow emergency response.

There will be a detrimental impact on the value of my property and coming up to retirement age i was hoping to sell my property and downsize to a bungalow, but with a home for EMD children next door i cannot see me selling my property. Also we are both feeling very vulnerable been so close to the property and will feel at risk sitting in our own garden, as only a wooden fence parts us. The property is also elevated so we will feel like sitting in a fish bowl.

The property site was originally a 3 bed detached house. It has been extended to 6 bedrooms. The garden has been made smaller by the extension and is very small for 4 children up to 17 to play in and also their carers.

I feel this area is not the appropriate place for a home with children suffering from EMD due to the increase in traffic, the vulnerability of local residents (young families and elderly), and the risk of anti social behaviour. Due to all the above i strongly object to the proposed application.

Yours Tracey Malkin.
48, Coleridge Drive
Cheadle

