

APPLICATION NUMBER - SMD/2024/0028 - BALL GREEN FARM**VARIATION OF CONDITION 9 re: SMD/2023/0343**

The reasoning suggested to justify a need to use the north western entry/exit track (other than the 30 days approved) just in case they may need to buy silage during the winter does not hold water. The existing alternative road entry/exits are perfectly adequate and are currently used daily to move slurry and silage between the barn and open top slurry tank, as detailed in the original submitted planning applications/proposals.

Therefore we strongly object and we submit to you that there is absolutely no justification for further planning changes with regard to condition 9 and the use of this track.

1. You will recall recent variations to 3 and 11 of **SMD2021/0179** and resulting approval of **SMD/2023/0343**

SMD/2023/0343 approved the requested change and also stipulated a maximum use of 30 days per calendar year and only to be used during the months from May to September. These restrictions were approved to meet both the applicants needs for gathering silage but also to protect the needs and amenities of nearby residents. Whilst the residents respectfully accepted the decision of the Council it would appear, as expected, that the applicant finds the conditions too restrictive and now seeks further changes.

2. To re-iterate the original approved use of Vehicular Access - **SMD/2021/0179**

The existing access with the highway from Gorsey Bank at the north-western end of the site shall only be used for the purposes of transporting silage to the site on no more than a maximum of 6 days per calendar year.

3. Following approval it quickly became apparent that the applicant felt that the agreed restrictions did not apply to him and, following multiple non-compliances and multiple evidenced reports of such to SMDC Non Compliance Team, the applicant submitted **SMD/2023/0343** asking for more changes (yet again) to better suit his needs.

The reasons for imposing the condition was to limit the use of this highway access owing to the narrow geometry of the lane that it egress onto and the close proximity of dwellings so as to protect the amenities of nearby residents and comply with policies especially for people living and/or working nearby

4. Not in the least surprised but yes – HERE WE ARE AGAIN!!!!!!!!!!!!

SMD/2021/0179 – Non Compliant with conditions attached so requested changes!!!

SMD/2023/0343 – Non Compliant with conditions attached so requested changes!!!

SMD/2024/0028 – SURELY ENOUGH IS ENOUGH

CONCLUSION

We seem to be in a continual loop of retro- planning or amendments to plans and the resultant changes detrimental to our way of life being the norm.

With regards the reference to: A review of the CrashMap website furthermore confirms that there have been no recorded incidents along Gorsey Lane for the last 23 years, this entrance being onto a track: *No track existed in the north-western corner, it was built in 2019/20 and received retrospective planning approval in 2021 As previously stated for silage only and restricted in use by a new gate. (Better safe than sorry.)*

Three other items concerning this development and remaining unresolved are:-

1. Still in contention is the light invasion issue. We were assured by the planning committee that our pre-existing light levels would not be worsened by the construction and conditions were attached to ensure compliance. But we still have light invasion/pollution?
2. Now sited next to the new erected barn and facing us but NOT mentioned or shown on any planning application - a **large black in colour, chemical/liquid storage tank**, its top standing above the eaves of the new building (its size approx. 6/7m high and 5/6m dia). Is this another retrospective environmental health and safety, planning issue?
3. No available assurances that new buildings completed (but not to be used until completion of all the approved development) are in accordance with the drawn and written detail shown on the approved plans numbered 1702-AL03B, and 1702-AL05A that were submitted with the application SMD/2021/0179.?

Finally we would again respectfully ask that you give this matter your favourable attention and refuse to allow any further amendments regarding this development site and REFUSE this application SMD/2024/0028.

Mrs L Brookes

Mr M Brookes

6 February 2024