

From: [Chelsea Lowndes](#)
To: [Planning \(SMDC\)](#)
Subject: Planning Application SMD/2021/0610
Date: 25 June 2023 22:41:41

Good afternoon,

Further to the proposed planning application for the new dwellings at broad hays farm id like to put in an objection on this development.

Below are my reasons as to why I'd like to object!

1: There is no infrastructure to accommodate the additional people these new builds are going to bring in! The road network for traffic already coming into Cheadle is bad enough!! We purely can not cope with over another 200+ cars in the town.. Let alone with the other new builds that are already being built in the area. Which is absolutely beyond a joke.

2: Again, there is no general infrastructure! We struggle enough as it is to get a doctors appointment and especially an emergency one because we don't have enough surgeries in the town to cover the local people already!! Again let alone adding another 200+ people to the 3 surgeries we have.. Schools, we do not have the space capacity to accept more children into the two main high schools in Cheadle. Are you going to accommodate n provide more surgeries n schools for all these people? ? Next.. supermarkets n shops! We only have two main supermarkets within the town. And they aren't even overly massive to shop in. We've not even had updates yet on the Aldi that was supposed to be built down near brookhouses. Is that even still going ahead??

3: The road safety where the proposed main site access is going to be is an accident screaming out to happen! Have you even considered that this is going to be on a bad bend? Are you going to restructure the road and make this more road safety appropriate, Also to mention that this road is currently marked at 60MPH, Even if you change this to 30MPH this is still very unsafe as you can't control people's speeds! Speed cameras would help to slow down traffic or putting in road bumps prior to the main site access.

4: The amount of new homes in Cheadle and the Kingsley parish already exceeds the number of homes required. Why do you require more when we already have 4 new building sites adding to the town, which amounts to at least 600 additional homes to the list let alone this new proposed development.

5: Agricultural, you are taking away agricultural land and nature for wild animals and farm animals. Also you are also making more pollution within the town.

6: Council tax, If you are already building so many new homes and you want to add another 200+ additional ones ontop of the 4 building sites we have already, are the council going to reduce the council tax?? This is pure greed from the council!!

Thank you.
Chelsea Lowndes (Resident of Froghall Road)

Chelsea