

From: [Vikki Yarwood](#)
To: [Planning Comments \(SMDC\)](#)
Subject: Fw: SMD/2023/0121 - Planning application views and objection
Date: 30 March 2023 19:45:57

From: Vikki Yarwood <
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2023 7:41 PM
To: planningcomments@staffordshire.gov.uk <planningcomments@staffordshire.gov.uk>
Subject: SMD/2023/0121 - Planning application views and objection

Application Reference No: SMD/2023/0121

Address: 244 Fairwinds Leek Road Endon Staffordshire ST9 9EA

Daniel Yarwood
8
Orford Road
Endon
Stoke-on-Trent
Staffordshire
ST9 9DX

Reason for email: concerns of situaion and requirement for additional and objection of application

Planning Application: SMD/2023/0121

Planning Application for a bungalow and garage adjacent to Fairwinds, 244 Leek Road, Endon, Stoke on Trent.

I write to object to the above planning application for the following reasons:

1. The entrance, exit for an additional home, driveway with an already very busy road that is directly opposite a primary school with so many near miss accidents, visual issues and a dangerous point in Leek Road
2. The proposed dwelling would overlook our home, this would lead to a reduction in privacy, light and a further building in this lovely rural area that has already in past years accommodated additional yet suitable extensions to homes, is plentiful but more than necessary
3. An additional dwelling will bring concern about the extra vehicle noise, fumes and cars parking in the area of current gardens, views and green area's
4. With the established and well cared for properties in the local area, a modern build

is not in-keeping or surely required in the area proposed

5. Adding a further property in this sort after area that has established properties already and has attracted garden lovers that grow from the land requiring sun and no pollution
6. A decrease in value in surrounding properties
7. An increase in noise in the peaceful area currently at the rear of our home
8. We are concerned what effect an extra dwelling will have on wildlife and nature
9. Is the proposed for a resale rather than respect for the residents planning to look after the area and remain

I therefore request that the planning application is refused.

Yours Sincerely,
Daniel Yarwood