DELEGATED DECISION REPORT

HNT/2022/0024 **Valid 11/07/2022**	**106 LORNE HEATH UTTOXETER ROAD DRAYCOTT IN THE MOORS**	**SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION TO FORM ADDITIONAL LIVING SPACE PROPOSED EXTENSION TO EXTEND 4.30M, MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF THE EXTENSION TO BE 3.90M AND HEIGHT OF THE EAVES TO BE 2.50M.** **(HOUSEHOLDER NOTIFICATION APPLICATION)**

MAIN ISSUES

Compliance with the GPDO (2015)

DESCRIPTION OF SITE

The proposed site comprises a semi-detached brick and tile dwellinghouse and its associated curtilage, situated in the Checkley ward. Additionally, the proposed site is on greenbelt land. The site is enclosed by neighbouring dwellinghouses on the East and West. No. 104 Uttoxeter Road is situated to the West of the site and No. 108 Uttoxeter Road is located to the East. The rear curtilage of the site is separated from a section of open greenbelt land by the rear curtilage of No. 108 Uttoxeter Road to the South. The Uttoxeter Road highway runs adjacent to the proposed site on the North.

PROPOSAL

The proposed development consists of a single storey rear extension. The extension would have a maximum height of 3.90 metres and maximum eaves height of 2.50 metres measured externally from natural ground level. It will have a depth of 4.30 metres. Materials used in the construction of the proposed extension would match those used in the construction of the existing dwellinghouse, including brick, tiles and white UPVC.

RELEVANT LOCAL AND NATIONAL PLANNING POLICIES

Staffordshire Moorlands Local Plan (Adopted 2020)

GPDO (2015) Schedule 2 Part 1 Class A

SITE HISTORY / RELEVANT PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS

N/A

CONSULTATIONS

Publicity

Site Notice expiry date: 22/08/2022
Neighbour consultation period ends: 01/08/2022
Press Advert: N/A

Public Comments

Neighbours have been notified in accordance with the procedure outlined within the GPDO. No neighbour comments have been received.

Town / Parish Comments

N/A

OFFICER COMMENTS

In conformity with the rules stated within the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended), it is necessary to assess whether the proposed rear extension to the dwellinghouse adheres to the criteria stated by Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A of the GPDO, to determine whether the householder development is permitted.

Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A.1 (a) states that development is not permitted if the use of a dwellinghouse as a dwellinghouse changes. The rear extension will be solely used as part of the living space of the residents to fully maintain its status as a dwelling, thus making it compliant under this criterion.

In accordance with Class A.1 (b) of the GPDO, the ground coverage of the building combined with the addition of the rear extension would not exceed 50% of the total curtilage of the dwellinghouse.

Class A.1 (c) and (d) confirm that the height of the roof and eaves of the proposed extension cannot exceed beyond the maximum roof height and eaves height of the existing dwellinghouse; otherwise the development is not permitted. Neither the height of the roof or the eaves of the single storey extension would exceed the maximum roof or eaves heights of the existing dwellinghouse.

As the extension would not be constructed on the principal elevation of the dwelling, nor would it front the highway and form a side elevation of the existing dwellinghouse, this would be in compliance with Class A.1 (e.i) and (e.ii).

This is an application under the larger extension procedure of the GPDO, therefore

Class A.1 (f) does not apply. Under Class A.1 (g), the dimensions of the proposed development are within those permissible. With a maximum height of 3.90 metres and a depth of 4.30 metres measured externally, the extension would be within the 4 metre height limit and fit within the 6 metre projection limit on semi-detached dwellinghouses.

The enlarged part of the dwellinghouse does not have more than a single storey and therefore, Class A.1 (h) does not stand applicable to the proposed development.

With respect to Class A.1 (i), if the proposed extension would be positioned 2 metres from the curtilage boundary of the dwelling, the eaves height cannot exceed 3 metres. The extension would be positioned within 2m of the common boundary. However, as the eaves height of the proposed extension would be 2.50 metres, the development would conform to this restriction.

Class A.1 (j) states that the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse cannot extend beyond a wall forming a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse, unless it abides by certain criteria. The proposed extension will only extend beyond the original rear elevation of the dwellinghouse, meaning that it would comply with the above permitted development criteria.

With regard to Class A.1 (ja), any total enlargement including the addition of further enlargements to existing enlargements, cannot exceed any of the limits set out in Class A.1 (e-j). The total measurement of the proposed extension would not exceed 6 metres in depth, 4 metres in height or 3 metres to the eaves, therefore meaning that it accords with this provision.

The proposed extension would not include the addition of any new ornamentation features such as a verandah, microwave antenna, a chimney or flue or the alteration to the existing roof of the dwelling. Therefore, Class A.1 (k) is not applicable.

The proposed site does not fall within article 2(3) land, therefore Class A.2 does not apply.

The proposed development would be constructed from materials which would harmonise with the existing colours, textures and materials of the dwellinghouse. In accordance with Class A.3 (a), all proposed materials are set to be of a similar appearance to those used in the construction of the existing dwelling, including brick, tiles and white UPVC.

Permitted development rights in relation to the proposed site remain intact.

CONCLUSION / PLANNING BALANCE

This notification falls within the framework set out within Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A (g) of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015. Due to there being no neighbour representations raised, there is no requirement to consider neighbour amenity, thus the proposal can proceed as permitted development. As a result the proposed extension is permitted development.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE

Case Officer: Hannah Hollins
Recommendation Date: 19/08/2022

X 

Signed by: Ben Haywood

On behalf of Staffordshire Moorlands District Council