
From:
Sent: 03 February 2022 09:16
To:)
Subject: FW: SMD/2022/0014 Proposed Re-engineered Water Outflow, Quarry 3 Moneystone Quarry

Jane Curley (Mrs)
Senior Planning Officer (Majors & Commercial)

From:
Sent: 02 February 2022 21:41
To:
Subject: Re: SMD/2022/0014 Proposed Re-engineered Water Outflow, Quarry 3 Moneystone Quarry

Dear Mrs Curley

Re: SMD/2022/0014 Proposed Re-engineered Water Outflow, Quarry 3 Moneystone Quarry

Having studied some of the documentation associated with this application it is very apparent to me that I will not be able to complete my critique of those documents prior to the deadline set by your authority for comments to be made. Can I please therefore ask you for an extension of that deadline in order to try and complete the task?

With reference to the cover letter written by Aster Planning:

1. There appears to be some muddle as to the letter's meaning. In the section headed '2016 Outline Planning Permission,' it states in the second paragraph that "for an outfall at the southwestern end of the lake at Quarry 3 discharging at a level of circa 156m to 157m AOD into Stream A, ensuring a steady flow of water through most of the year." This, in part, contradicts a statement in the first italicised paragraph that "There will be an outfall at the south western end of the lake at about 156m to 157m AOD into Stream A, ensuring a steady flow through most of the year." Can you therefore please explain the difference of intent in both these statements by Aster Planning where on the one hand there is a stated ensuring of water flow but on the other that this is only possible for part of the year?

2. With climate change in mind, can you please inform me how long the SSSI might be expected to have limited flow and zero flow of water through it via Stream A, and what the implications of these scenarios will have on the flora and fauna of the SSSI?

3. In the second italicised paragraph it states, "By monitoring any flow through the outfall it would be hoped the risk of stagnation conditions in the Q3 lake can be reduced. However, some small fluctuations in the lake level may be desirable to help maintain the beaches proposed." Stagnation and also the potential for algal blooms are obvious health concerns. Can you please inform me regarding the monitoring regime of the lake and what are the remedial actions and policy statements should there be any public health issues in the lake?

4. In the same paragraph it states, "Prior to entering Stream A, it is proposed that the lake water flows through reed beds to further filter the water before it enters the river network." Can you please inform me where these reed beds are to be situated and whether they will not be an obstruction the fish known to be extant in Stream A?

I look forward to your reply.

Yours Sincerely

D.J.Williams

High View

Foxt

Staffordshire Moorlands

ST10 2HN

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This email is intended for the addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential information that could be protectively marked. If you are not the addressee please do not use the information in any way. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately and delete it from your system. Thank you.

The Council may be required to disclose this email or any responses to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The way in which we handle personal information is set out in our privacy notice and is available at <https://www.staffsmoorlands.gov.uk/YourData>
