

20 DEC 2021

52 Uplands Croft
Werrington
Stoke-on-Trent
Staffs.
ST9 0LF
September 9th 2019

Dear Sir

I am aware that an application has been made to build three detached properties in the garden of number fifty-eight Uplands Croft here in Werrington. I am strongly opposed to such an application since it is made with no consideration for the significant negative impact upon this part of Uplands Croft.

At the proposed point of access for any side road, Uplands Croft bends at approximately eighty degrees. The front boundary wall at number sixty-four has once been completely demolished by a speeding driver who lost control of his car and on another occasion half demolished, as it is at present, by a driver who was being pursued by a police vehicle.

One of the entrance pillars to my own drive was once demolished and any increase in traffic, which would result from new family properties, especially vehicles turning slowly in or out of a drive at number fifty-eight on the exceedingly sharp bend, could result in serious personal injuries as well as damage to boundary walls and fences.

Records of other problems at this address will no doubt be recorded in your planning files. Houses number fifty-four, fifty-six and sixty-four were occasionally flooded with raw sewage when drains at the entrance to number fifty-eight were unable to cope with the increased sewage flow from drainage systems in Moss Farm Park estate as it was being developed. The drains were enlarged but the foundations for any proposed access road to new properties will be over the existing drains serving the Uplands estate and Moss Farm estate. Extensive drainage work was also carried out in the field behind the Langton Court development.

I have lived in my present home for the past sixty years and have personally witnessed and been involved in dealing with these problems, by helping to sweep away sewage and unblock drains.

The site foreman who worked for Messrs. E. Fletcher Ltd. who built the Uplands estate said that the land below number fifty-eight was not developed because the drainage system that would be required was prohibitively expensive to construct.

I firmly believe that any planning application should be rejected, thereby avoiding possible significant problems in the future.

Yours faithfully

