
From: S Dawson
Sent: 22 June 2021 13:33
To: Karen
Subject: Planning comments 2 Church Terrace

Hi Karen,

Please see the below- they are a selection of some points that we feel need addressing with regards to the public comments made about our proposal.

Is there anyway of getting these addressed or making our view known to the planning department by way of a response?

With regards to the two current objections from the Caverswall Parish Council and Ross Ward:

A. With regards to Point 2 from the Parish Council- there will be no windows which would look directly into Church House.

B. With regards to Point 3 from the Parish Council - it is inaccurate to consider this an overdevelopment as the property is nestled between two existing and substantial properties in addition to being bordered by a new development of similarly significant dwellings.

The new estate opposite and in full view of my property was built after I came to reside here, one of the attractions was the green rural area at the rear, later plans were passed regardless of the conservation area and objections , how can my proposed extension be called an over development when they built an whole estate there?!

C. With regards to the comments generally from the Parish Council they seem to relate very closely to those made by fellow resident and colleague, Counsellor Ross Ward.

With regards to comments made by Ross Ward:

D. Significant amendments have been made throughout the entire design process including increasing the distance between Church House and the proposed extension- this gap has been increased to 600mm; not 300mm as quoted. Plans have also been amended to drop the height of the eaves to account for a possible raising of the landing window for Church House .

E. An initial dialogue was started with Ross Ward even before official plans were considered. Through the entire process multiple suggests were made to account for the addition of the first floor extension and the impact this would have on the landing window- we even suggested to carry out this work at our cost. Each and every one of these suggestions were discounted.

F. In addition to Point D above; there will be no encapsulation of the ventilation fan as there will be at least a 600mm gap between the development and Church House.

G. A dialogue was started with Ross from the inception of this proposal with plans and alterations being discussed from both sides however this dialogue ceased and could not continue when Ross did not return communications.

Kind regards,

Stella