

Mr Mather 26.06.2021 - DET/2021/0021 (21.53)

Mr Swithenbank

Following my comments and observations below, I would also like to question one of the Applicants answers of the original planning application form:

6. Neighbour and Community Consultation

Have you consulted your neighbours or the local community about the proposal? Yes

If Yes, please provide details:

Please refer to SSSI Section

When the SSSI is reviewed there is no mention of any consultation with any neighbour or the local community. The nearest comment is to indicate an offer to consult with the LPA (The Director of Planning).

Pre-application consultation with LPA

Written offer of pre-application consultation: Yes

Was there pre-application contact? No

Date of pre-application contact: N/A

Name of contact: The Director of Planning

This person is not one to be considered, as included in Section 6 of the Planning application.

This answer to the Section 6 question is therefore false and this would be known by the Applicants agent and Applicant to be false. They have again therefore knowingly provided false information on a Planning application.

As per our previous emails you indicated the SMDC has fulfilled its legal duty to write to a nearby resident and posted a public notice. These correspondences were only notifications and not consultations and were in fact initiated after the planning application was received by the planning authority and therefore the applicant has falsified the application.

To revisit a comment below on the public consultation period, and reviewing the planning process, I believe that as no decision date have been reached prior to the submission of amended plans by the applicant the public consultation period should be restarted from the 21/06/21. As per the changes due to the Covid restrictions this period shall be no shorter than 21 days. This would therefore invalid the decision date placed on 22/06/21.

Regards

John Mather

Mr Mather 26.06.2021 - DET/2021/0021 (21.32)

Mr Swithenbank

Following my comments and observations below, I would also like to question one of the Applicants answers of the original planning application form:

6. Neighbour and Community Consultation

Have you consulted your neighbours or the local community about the proposal?

Yes

If Yes, please provide details:

Please refer to SSSI Section

When the SSSI is reviewed there is no mention of any consultation with any neighbour or the local community. The nearest comment is to indicate an offer to consult with the LPA (The Director of Planning).

Pre-application consultation with LPA

Written offer of pre-application consultation: Yes

Was there pre-application contact? No

Date of pre-application contact: N/A

Name of contact: The Director of Planning

This person is not one to be considered, as included in Section 6 of the Planning application.

This answer to the Section 6 question is therefore false and this would be known by the Applicants agent and Applicant to be false. They have again therefore knowingly provided false information on a Planning application.

As per our previous emails you indicated the SMDC has fulfilled its legal duty to write to a nearby resident and posted a public notice. These correspondences were only notifications and not consultations and were in fact initiated after the planning application was received by the planning authority and therefore the applicant has falsified the application.

To revisit a comment below on the public consultation period, and reviewing the planning process, I believe that as no decision date has been reached prior to the submission of amended plans by the applicant the public consultation period should be restarted from the 21/06/21. As per the changes due to the Covid restrictions this period shall be no shorter than 21 days. This would therefore invalidate the decision date placed on 22/06/21.

Regards

John Mather