DELEGATED DECISION REPORT

HNT/2020/0017 **Valid 24/09/2020**	**28 THE AVENUE** **CHEDDLETON**	**PROPOSED KITCHEN / LIVING AREA EXTENSION** **(HOUSEHOLDER NOTIFICATION APPLICATION)**

MAIN ISSUES

Compliance with the GPDO (2015)

DESCRIPTION OF SITE

The proposed site comprises a semi-detached pebble dash, brick and tile dwelling and its associated curtilage, situated in the Cheddleton ward. The proposed site is enclosed by neighbouring dwellings to the sides and the rear. No. 26 The Avenue lies to the West of the proposed site and No. 30 The Avenue is situated to the East. To the North of the proposed site is the rear curtilage of No. 36 and No. 38 Grange Road. The Avenue highway runs adjacent to the proposed site on the South, separating the proposed site from some allotment gardens.

PROPOSAL

The proposed development is a single storey rear extension. The extension will have a maximum height of 3 metres and maximum eaves height of 3 metres measured externally from natural ground level. It will have a depth of 5 metres. Materials used in the construction of the proposed extension would match those used in the construction of the existing dwellinghouse, including brick and White UPVC.

RELEVANT LOCAL AND NATIONAL PLANNING POLICIES

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (Adopted 2014)

GPDO (2015) Schedule 2 Part 1 Class A

SITE HISTORY / RELEVANT PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS

N/A

CONSULTATIONS

Publicity

Site Notice expiry date: 05/11/2020
Neighbour consultation period ends: 15/10/2020
Press Advert: N/A

Public Comments

Neighbours have been notified in accordance with the procedure outlined within the GPDO. No neighbour comments have been received.

Town / Parish Comments

Cheddleton Parish Council do not object.

OFFICER COMMENTS

In conformity with the rules stated within the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended), it is necessary to assess whether the proposed rear extension to the dwellinghouse adheres to the criteria stated by Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A of the GPDO, to determine whether the householder development is permitted.

Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A.1 (a) states that development is not permitted if the use of a dwellinghouse as a dwellinghouse changes. The rear extension will be solely used as part of the living space of the residents to fully maintain its status as a dwelling, thus making it permitted development under this criterion.

In accordance with Class A.1 (b) of the GPDO, the ground coverage of the building combined with the addition of the rear extension would not exceed 50% of the total curtilage of the dwellinghouse.

Class A.1 (c) and (d) confirm that the height of the roof and eaves of the proposed extension cannot exceed beyond the maximum roof height and eaves heights of the existing dwellinghouse; otherwise the development is not permitted. Neither the height of the roof or the eaves of the single storey extension would exceed the maximum roof or eaves heights of the existing dwellinghouse.

As the extension would not be constructed on the principal elevation of the dwelling, nor would it front the highway and form a side elevation of the existing dwellinghouse, this would classify as permitted development in compliance with Class A.1 (e.i) and (e.ii).

Under Class A.1 (g), the dimensions of the development are within those permissible under PD, now that the Government has released an amendment to the HNT legislation to remove the time limit for completion from the 30th May 2019. With a maximum height of 3 metres and a depth of 5 metres measured externally, the

extension would be in line with the 4 metre height limit and fit within the 6 metre depth limit on semi-detached dwellings. Therefore, the proposed extension could be brought forward under PD.

The enlarged part of the dwellinghouse does not have more than a single storey and therefore, Class A.1 (h) does not stand applicable to the proposed development.

As part of Class A.1 (i), if the proposed extension would be positioned 2 metres from the curtilage boundary of the dwelling, the eaves height of the proposed extension cannot be above 3 metres. The eaves height of the proposed extension would be 3 metres; therefore the development would be permitted.

Class A.1 (j) states that the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse cannot exceed beyond a wall forming a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse, unless it abides by certain criteria. The proposed extension will only project from the rear elevation of the original dwelling, therefore this rule is not applicable.

With regard to Class A.1 (ja), any total enlargement including the addition of further enlargements to existing enlargements, cannot exceed the 6 metre limit set out in Class A.1 (g). The total measurement of the proposed extension would not exceed 6 metres, therefore meaning that it is permitted development. There have been no other extensions made to this dwellinghouse.

The proposed extension would not include the addition of any new ornamentation features such as a verandah, microwave antenna, a chimney or flue or the alteration to the existing roof of the dwelling. Therefore, Class A.1 (k) is not applicable.

The proposed site does not fall within article 2(3) land, therefore Class A.2 does not apply.

In order to accommodate to the conditions raised in Class A.3 of the GPDO, the proposed development would be constructed from materials which would harmonise with the existing colours, textures and materials of the dwellinghouse. In accordance with Class A.3 (a), all proposed materials are set to match those used in the construction of the existing dwelling, including brick and White UPVC.

Due to no neighbour objection being raised within the consultation period given, the necessity to consider neighbour amenity is not required.

Permission development rights in relation to the proposed site remain intact.

CONCLUSION / PLANNING BALANCE

This notification falls within the framework set out within Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A (g) of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015. Due to there being no neighbour representations raised, there is no requirement to consider neighbour amenity, thus the proposal can proceed as permitted development. As a result it does not require planning permission.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE

Case Officer: Hannah Hollins
Recommendation Date: 03/11/2020

X B.J. Haywood

Signed by: Ben Haywood
On behalf of Staffordshire Moorlands District Council