DELEGATED DECISION REPORT

HNT/2020/0010 **Valid 02/07/2020**	**HILLSIDE FOXT ROAD** **FOXT**	**PROPOSED SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION MEASURING 4.2M BEYOND THE REAR WALL OF THE EXISTING DWELLING, 3.1M MAXIMUM HEIGHT AND 2.9M HEIGHT TO EAVES** **(HOUSEHOLDER NOTIFICATION APPLICATION)**

MAIN ISSUES

Compliance with the GPDO (2015) as amended.

DESCRIPTION OF SITE

The proposed site is comprised of a semi-detached brick and tile dwelling and its associated curtilage, located in the Ipstones Ward, as well as within the Staffordshire Moorlands Special Landscape area. The site is enclosed on the West by its neighbouring dwellinghouse, Ashlea, Foxt Road. To the East of the proposed site is another neighbouring dwellinghouse, Hillcrest, Foxt Road, which is separated from the proposed site by a pocket of open green space. Open green space comprises the majority of the surroundings of the proposed site, including the Public Right of Way 'Ipstones 52' to the West. The Foxt Road highway runs adjacent to the proposed site across the South.

PROPOSAL

The proposed development is a single storey rear extension. The extension will have a maximum height of 3.1 metres and maximum eaves height of 2.9 metres measured externally from natural ground level. It will have a depth of 4.2 metres. Materials used in the construction of the proposed extension would match those used in the construction of the existing dwellinghouse, including brick and a single ply flat roof.

RELEVANT LOCAL AND NATIONAL PLANNING POLICIES

N/A.

GPDO (2015) Schedule 2 Part 1 Class A

SITE HISTORY / RELEVANT PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS

SMD/2020/0169 – Proposed driveway. Approved.

CONSULTATIONS

Publicity

Site Notice expiry date: 13/08/2020
Neighbour consultation period ends: 28/07/2020
Press Advert: N/A

Public Comments

Neighbours have been notified in accordance with the procedure outlined within the GPDO. No neighbour comments have been received.

Town / Parish Comments

Ipstones Parish Council are in support of the application.

OFFICER COMMENTS

In conformity with the rules stated within the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended), it is necessary to assess whether the proposed rear extension to the dwellinghouse adheres to the criteria stated by Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A of the GPDO, to determine whether the householder development is permitted.

Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A.1 (a) states that development is not permitted if the use of a dwellinghouse as a dwellinghouse changes. The rear extension will be solely used as part of the living space of the residents to fully maintain its status as a dwelling, thus making it permitted development.

In accordance with Class A.1 (b) of the GPDO, the ground coverage of the building combined with the addition of the rear extension would not exceed 50% of the total curtilage of the dwellinghouse.

Class A.1 (c) and (d) confirm that the height of the roof and eaves of the extension cannot exceed beyond the height of the existing dwellinghouse; otherwise the development is not permitted. Neither the height of the roof or the eaves would exceed the roof of the existing dwellinghouse.

As the extension would not be constructed on the principal elevation of the dwelling, nor would it front the highway and form a side elevation of the existing

dwellinghouse, this would classify as permitted development in compliance with Class A.1 (e.i) and (e.ii).

Under Class A.1 (g), the dimensions of the development are within those permissible under PD, now that the Government has released an amendment to the HNT legislation to remove the time limit for completion from the 30th May 2019. With a maximum height of 3.1 metres and a depth of 4.2 metres measured externally, the extension would be under the 4 metre height limit and fit within the 6 metre depth limit on semi-detached dwellings. Therefore, the proposed extension could be brought forward under PD.

The enlarged part of the dwellinghouse does not have more than a single storey and therefore, Class A.1 (h) does not stand applicable to the proposed development.

As part of Class A.1 (i), if the proposed extension would be positioned within 2 metres of the curtilage boundary of the dwelling, and therefore the eaves height of the proposed extension cannot be above 3 metres. The eaves height of the proposed extension would be 2.9 metres; therefore the proposed development would be permitted.

Class A.1 (j) states that the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse cannot exceed beyond a wall forming a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse, unless it abides by certain criteria. The proposed extension will only project from the rear elevation of the original dwelling, therefore this rule is not applicable.

With regard to Class A.1 (ja), any total enlargement including the addition of further enlargements to existing enlargements, cannot exceed the 6 metre limit set out in Class A.1 (g). The total measurement of the proposed extension would not exceed 6 metres, therefore meaning that it is permitted development. There have been no previous extensions made on the original dwellinghouse.

The proposed extension would not include the addition of any new ornamentation features such as a verandah, microwave antenna, a chimney or flue or the alteration to the existing roof of the dwelling. Therefore, Class A.1 (k) is not applicable.

The proposed site does not fall within article 2(3) land, therefore Class A.2 does not apply.

In order to accommodate to the conditions raised in Class A.3 of the GPDO, the proposed development would be constructed from materials which would harmonise with the existing colours, textures and materials of the dwellinghouse. In accordance with Class A.3 (a), all proposed materials are set to match those used in the construction of the existing dwelling, including brick and a single ply flat roof.

Due to no neighbour objection being raised within the consultation period given, the necessity to consider neighbour amenity is not required.

PD rights in relation to the proposed site remain in tact.

CONCLUSION / PLANNING BALANCE

This notification falls within the framework set out within Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A (g) of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015. Due to there being no neighbour representations raised, there is no requirement to consider neighbour amenity, thus the proposal can proceed as permitted development. As a result it does not require planning permission.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE

Case Officer: Hannah Hollins
Recommendation Date: 12/08/2020

X

Signed by: Jane Colley
On behalf of Staffordshire Moorlands District Council