Burnett, James

Mrs Barbara Robinson

Dear sir or madam ,I was concerned about the car parking planes that you have on line concerning amended planning permission at the above address, when we bought our house our carparking allocation was on the plans but I am shocked that they are not on the amended plans ,I do understand that they are at the land registration office ,but this concern us as I don't understand why the amended plans do not show the carparking places ? a. [Ref 2] The full original car park dropped kerb is maintained, this is contrary to the [Ref 3 and 4] and [Ref 1] that state the ¿remainder of the dropped kerb crossing across the frontage is to be removed and standard kerbs installed and pavement reinstated¿. This was raised at [Ref 5]

b. There is also a Public Safety issue, regarding the dropped kerb, vehicles (this includes delivery vans) frequently manoeuvre onto the pavement when parking, consequently putting pedestrians at risk. The lack of a raised kerb provides no buffer or reference point when parking.

c. [Ref 4] Para 17 covers reinstatement of the existing site access iaw approved plans, reinstatement to full height. In the interest of highway safety and to avoid proliferation of overlong and redundant access crossing

2a. Changes to car parking layout at rear

a. There are no individual car allocations detailed, these need to be identified on the plan(s) iaw [Ref 6] with the dimensions. These were detailed at [Ref 1].

b. [Ref 1 and Ref 7] The Car Park/Courtyard Hard Landscaping identifies the parking area tarmac with kerbs and edgings, these boundary treatments have not been applied. The current state of the yard boundaries has tarmac banked to interface the different levels of the car park and individual garden/yard boundary areas. This is not an acceptable solution.

c. [Ref 1] The driveway and access is to be formed in tarmac to the approval of Staffordshire Highway, the entrance is undulating and tarmac finish of an ¿unconvincing¿ quality and below an acceptable standard.

d. The main car parking area is ditto d. above and there has been limited grading or levelling to the overall site, in one area the tarmac is fragmenting.

e. Tarmac is laid directly to the Panel Fence on the boundary of Plot 5 property on Ford Street, nil edging or interface.

f. [Ref 1] Plots 2 and 3 should have a small brick boundary wall this been removed from the [Ref 2] plan. Not agreed with the owners.

g. The term ¿existing tarmac¿ is used on [Ref 2] plan, what is the relevance of existing? clarification is required.

I am also concerned that we has no communication from Staffordshire county counsel planning department that wooliscroft homes ltd was submitting an amending plans application and that it has only just gone on line ,I would very much like Staffordshire county counsel planning department to speek to me directly And I definitely disagree with wooliscroft homes ltd application .