DELEGATED DECISION REPORT

HNT/2019/0009 **Valid 27/06/2019**	**WHITE CHIMNEYS DEVILS LANE** **LONGSDON**	**ERECTION OF SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION, EXTENDING BEYOND REAR WALL OF THE DWELLING MEASURED EXTERNALLY 8M, MAXIMUM HEIGHT MEASURED EXTERNALY FROM THE NATURAL GROUND LEVEL 3.1M AND THE HEIGHT AS THE EVES MEASURED EXTERNALLY FROM THE NATURAL GROUND LEVEL 2.5M** **(HOUSEHOLDER NOTIFICATION APPLICATION)**

MAIN ISSUES

Compliance with the GDPO (2015)

DESCRIPTION OF SITE

The proposed site is comprised of a stone and plain clay tile detached dwelling and its associated curtilage, located in a Staffordshire Moorlands Special Landscape area in the Horton Ward. It lies within greenbelt, countryside land, surrounded by farm land and open green space. Directly to the East of the site lies a close neighbouring property, under the name of Old House Farm.

PROPOSAL

The proposal is for a rear single storey extension. The extension will have a maximum height of 3.1 metres and maximum eaves height of 2.5 metres, measured externally from natural ground level. It will have a depth of 8 metres. Materials used in the construction of the proposed extension would match those used in the construction of the existing dwelling, including plain clay roof tiles and natural stone walls.

RELEVANT LOCAL AND NATIONAL PLANNING POLICIES

Core Strategy Development Plan Document (Adopted 2014)

GDPO (2015) Schedule 2 Part 1 Class A

SITE HISTORY / RELEVANT PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS

SMD/2018/0656 – Two storey and single storey extension to rear, alterations to front entrance, formation of a porch to front. Withdrawn.

CONSULTATIONS

Publicity

Site Notice expiry date: N/A
Neighbour consultation period ends: 19/07/2019

Public Comments

Neighbours have been notified in accordance with the procedure outlined within the GDPO. No neighbour comments have been received.

Town / Parish Comments

N/A

OFFICER COMMENTS

In conformity with the rules stated within the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended), the proposed Rear extension to the dwellinghouse adheres to the criteria stated by the documentation. Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A of the GDPO sets out criteria to enable the classification of whether a householder development is permitted.

Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A.1 (a) states that development is not permitted if the use of a dwellinghouse as a dwellinghouse changes. The rear extension will be solely used as part of the living space of the residents to fully maintain its status as a dwelling, thus making it permitted development.

In accordance with Class A.1 (b) of the GDPO, the ground coverage of the building combined with the addition of the rear extension would not exceed 50% of the total curtilage of the dwellinghouse.

Class A.1 (c) and (d) affirm that the height of the roof and eaves of the extension cannot exceed beyond the height of the existing dwellinghouse; otherwise the development is not permitted. As the rear extension is only a single storey, the

height of the roof or the eaves would exceed the roof of the existing dwellinghouse.

As the extension would not be constructed on the principal elevation of the dwelling, this would classify as permitted development in compliance with Class A.1 (e.i) and (e.ii). Although the proposed extension would front the highway, in accordance with Class A of the Permitted Development Rights for Householders Technical Guidance, the distance between the extension and the highway would be of a substantial area. An intervening pocket of agricultural land, as well as intervening outbuildings lie sandwiched between the dwelling and the highway, affirming that the proposal remains in accordance with GPDO regulation.

Under Class A.1 (g), the dimensions of the development are within those permissible under PD, now that the Government has released an amendment to the HNT legislation to remove the time limit for completion from the 30th May 2019. With a maximum height of 3.1 metres and a depth of 8 metres measured externally, the extension would be under the 4 metre height limit and fit within the 8 metre projection limit on detached dwellings. Therefore, the proposed extension could be brought forward under PD.

The enlarged part of the dwellinghouse does not have more than a single storey, therefore Class A.1 (h) does not stand applicable to the proposed development.

The proposed extension would be not positioned within 2 metres of the curtilage boundary of the dwelling. Furthermore, the height of the eaves would not exceed 3 metres, measuring 2.5 metres externally from natural ground level. Due to the proposed extension not being within 2 metres of the curtilage boundary, the proposal complies with PD as part of Class A.1 (i).

Class A.1 (j) states that the enlarged part of the dwellinghouse can not exceed beyond a wall forming a side elevation of the original dwellinghouse. The proposed extension will only project from the rear elevation of the original dwelling. Therefore this rule is not applicable.

With regard to Class A.1 (ja), any total enlargement including the addition of further enlargements to existing enlargements, cannot exceed the 8 metre limit set out in Class A.1 (g). The total measurement of the proposed extension would not exceed 8 metres, therefore meaning that it is permitted development.

The proposed extension would not include the addition of any new ornamentation features such as a verandah, microwave antenna, a chimney or flue or the alteration to the existing roof of the dwelling. Therefore, Class A.1 (k) is not applicable.

The proposed site does not fall within article 2(3) land, therefore Class A.2 does not apply.

In order to accommodate to the conditions raised in Class A.3 of the GDPO, the proposed development would be constructed from materials which would harmonise with the existing colours, textures and materials of the dwellinghouse. In accordance with Class A.3 (a), all proposed materials are set to match those used in the

construction of the existing dwelling, including plain clay roof tiles and natural stone walls.

Due to no neighbour objection being raised within the consultation period given, the necessity to consider neighbour amenity is not required.

CONCLUSION / PLANNING BALANCE

This notification falls within the framework set out within Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A (g) of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015. Due to there being no neighbour representations raised, there is no requirement to consider neighbour amenity, thus the proposal can proceed as permitted development. As a result it does not require planning permission.

OFFICER RECOMMENDATION : APPROVE

Case Officer: Hannah Hollins
Recommendation Date: 22/07/2019

X B.J. Haywood

Signed by: Ben Haywood

On behalf of Staffordshire Moorlands District Council