From: planningcomments@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk
To: Planning Comments (SMDC)
Subject: Comment Received from Public Access
Date: 30 June 2019 16:58:36

Application Reference No. : SMD/2019/0349
Site Address: Land At Ball Green Farm Woodhouse Lane Brown Edge Staffordshire
Comments by: Mr Scragg
From:

Farm Lea
Ball Green
Stoke on Trent

Phone:
Email:
Submission: Objection
Comments: I hereby write to notify you of my objection in regards to application numbers SMD/2019/0331, SMD/2019/0320 and SMD/2019/0349 (SMD/2019/0349 of which has status of Application invalid)

I have recently moved to the Ball Green area (Farm Lea) and am extremely disappointed to hear this application has been reapplied for after already being refused in 2018 for the following reasons ¿The siting of the proposed building in close proximity to neighbouring dwellings at Heather View and Farm Lea would result in unacceptable harm to the living conditions of these neighbouring occupiers due to overbearing impact, noise and general disturbance, odour and loss of outlook.¿

I still feel that the proposed developments by reason of its still inappropriate siting, size, depth, width and height would have an unacceptable adverse impact on the amenities of the residential properties nearby.

The proposed developments siting would still mean an increase in noise by cattle and machinery and smell pollution due to amounts of manure/slurry produced by the ever-increasing number of cattle. Also bringing yet more vermin to the area.

The sheer size of the development would bring overshadowing, loss of light and privacy to the homes immediately adjacent to the site and to the surrounding area, this would also have a negative impact on the already unspoilt beautiful landscape which was one of the reasons that we decided to move to this area.

The design and access statement also advises a further planning proposal will be submitted to place a 500,000-gallon slurry store adjacent to the existing one and is considered more than adequate for the proposed number of cattle. Firstly, why has this application not already been submitted to give a true reflection of the future development? Secondly if this is more that adequate then why is this required?

I understand the farmer requires to expand for his business to grow, however if the farmer has 273 acres of land surely there is another suitable location that meets all requirements of the farmer for the proposed without the negative impacts I have raised above.

Thanks

Mr and Mrs Scragg (Residents of Farm Lea)