From: Biddulph Town Council <biddulph@staffordshire.gov.uk>
Sent: 18 October 2018 12:26
To: Planning (SMDC)
Subject: Biddulph Town Council recommendations

Categories: Blue Category

Good afternoon,

Please see below the recommendations from Biddulph Town Council's Planning Committee.

Very best wishes,

Sarah.

SMD/2018/0517	63 High Street	Variation of condition 2 in relation to SMD/2018/0157
Councillor Hawley noted that he had visited the site and it would appear that the larger windows would be a similar size to those that had previously been in place; it was possible to see where they had been bricked up Councillor Salt felt that any new windows should be in-keeping with the original design of the building. Councillor Davies noted that there was a lack of good architecture within the town, but this building was a good example; we should be careful that it is not spoiled. New windows should be sympathetic to the traditional style of the building. It was agreed to make this recommendation to planners. **No adverse comments**.		
SMD/2018/0521	153 Park Lane	Outline permission with details of access, landscaping, layout and scale (other matters reserved) for the construction of a new detached dwelling
Councillor Hawley noted that there was a discrepancy about whether this was a three or four-bed development within the paperwork. Councillor Court noted that there were rumours about asbestos being buried in the area. Councillor Redfern felt this was a back garden development, which would be surrounded; there would be very little privacy. There were no additional parking spaces, and Councillor Redfern queried whether there was enough residential garden space. He too had heard reports of asbestos being buried in the ground.		

Councillor Rogers had concerns about the neighbour's driveway, and access arrangements. Councillor Nicosia felt this was overdevelopment, and noted the neighbour complaint, which appeared to be valid. Councillor Salt felt it was a significant planning consideration that this is development within the green belt. Councillors recommended **refusal** on the grounds that this is not an 'infill' development, it is development of the greenbelt and is overdevelopment of the site. All agreed.		
SMD/2018/0588	9 Highland Close	Proposed two storey rear extension
Councillor Nicosia noted that the 45 degree splay must be enforced to ensure that the development does not affect the neighbour's light. It was agreed to make this recommendation. **No adverse comments**.		
SMD/2018/0609	105 Moorside Woodhouse Lane	Demolition of rear single storey and construction of 2 storey and single storey extension along with associated internal and external alterations
It was noted that the Town Council had not opposed the previous development. **No adverse comments**.		

Sarah Haydon

Chief Officer
Biddulph Town Council
Town Hall, High Street, Biddulph, Staffordshire Moorlands ST8 6AR

Tel: 01782 297845
www.biddulph.co.uk

information on topics important to you.

Save time and download MyStaffs mobile app available on Android and iOS

Follow the county council on Twitter, Facebook, LinkedIn and YouTube

---

Disclaimer

This e-mail (including any attachments) is only for the person or organisation it is addressed to. If you are not the intended recipient you must let me know immediately and then delete this e-mail. If you use this e-mail without permission, or if you allow anyone else to see, copy or distribute the e-mail, or if you do, or don't do something because you have read this e-mail, you may be breaking the law.

Liability cannot be accepted for any loss or damage arising from this e-mail (or any attachments) or from incompatible scripts or any virus transmitted.

E-mails and attachments sent to or received from staff and elected Members may be monitored and read and the right is reserved to reject or return or delete any which are considered to be inappropriate or unsuitable.

Do you really need to print this email? It will use paper, add to your waste disposal costs and harm the environment.