

## Kay, Hayley

---

**From:** Planning Comments (SMDC)  
**Subject:** FW: Comment submitted for Planning application

---

**From:** Alan Beardmore [mailto:]  
**Sent:** 03 August 2018 12:26  
**To:** Planning Comments (SMDC)  
**Subject:** RE: Comment submitted for Planning application

Hi having read this E mail I wish to correct the numerous errors in grammar and spelling. As follows.

1 In response to questions re rights of access having taken legal advice it was confirmed that both West Winds and Blue Ridge have rights of access as detailed in the conveyance related to the properties that front onto the service road. they are entitled to services and unrestricted rights of access along the Service Road that access that right allows the residents to Para Phrase "To pass or repass wit or without animals and vehicles for all putposes and at all timesover the roadway i..e the strip designated as the road.and to inspect and repair the same.

Our legal advice confirms that conveyance therefore we are happy to furnish you with the name of the solicitors who will be acting on our behalf.

2 The changes have been made to better relate to the adjacent properties making alignment easier to achieve. The plot sizes are now projected as being larger than the surrounding properties.

3 The access road meets the requirements of SCC (Highways) with ample on site parking and turning places.

4 The services have been assesed by Severn Trent Andthe other ancillary services as being available

5 The condition of the service road has been raised as an issue. The road way to the north of the site has already started to be prepared for the radway to be tarmaced. This in fact will be more than 50% of the length being upgraded. The owners of the property north of the site don't wish to change the status quo. They are responsible to keep their sections in a usable condition. Whatever the out come of this application it is intended to upgrade the northern section including making the changes recommended by thy the Scc Highways department in the intersests of safety.

6 Finally we have been unable to get an explanation from Leek town Councils ojection " Over Development" The reduction of the number of properties should address this..

7 The plot is land that seves no useful purposes and is in fact land that was intended to be developed when Boundry close was being built. This was frustrated the by the liquidation of the developer.

Could use this version please

Rgds Alan Beardmore

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

---

**From:** [planningcomments@staffs Moorlands.gov.uk](mailto:planningcomments@staffs Moorlands.gov.uk)  
**Sent:** 02 August 2018 17:56  
**To:** [beardmorealan@hotmail.com](mailto:beardmorealan@hotmail.com)  
**Subject:** Comment submitted for Planning application

Thank you for submitting your comments on the planning application.

This is an acknowledgement to confirm they have been received by the planning department and will be processed within the next 5 working days.

Please find a copy of your submitted comments below.

Application Reference No. : SMD/2018/0110

Site Address: West Winds Cheddleton Road Leek Staffordshire ST13 5QZ Leek

Comments by: Alan & Chritine Beardmore

From:

West Winds  
Cheddleton Road  
Birchall  
Leek  
Staffordshire  
ST135QZ

Phone:

Email:

Submission: Neither

Comments: Nos 1

In response to questions re rights of access having taken legal advice it was confirmed that both West Winds and Blue Ridge have rights of access as detailed in the conveyance related to the properties that front onto the service road. They are entitled to services and unrestricted rights of access along the Service Road that access that right allows the residents to pass or repass with or without animals and vehicles for all purposes and at all times over the roadway i.e. the strip designated as the road and to inspect and repair the same.

Our legal advice confirms that conveyance therefore we are happy to furnish you with the name of the solicitors who will be acting on our behalf.

2 The changes have been made to better relate to the adjacent properties making alignment easier to achieve. The plot sizes are now projected as being larger than the surrounding properties.

3 The access road meets the requirements of SCC (Highways) with ample on site parking and turning places.

34 The services have been assessed by Severn Trent and the other ancillary services as being available

5 The condition of the service road has been raised as an issue. The road way to the north of the site has already started to be prepared for the roadway to be tarmaced. This in fact will be more than 50% of the length being upgraded. The owners of the property north of the site don't wish to change the status quo. They are responsible to keep their sections in a usable condition. Whatever the outcome of this application it is intended to upgrade the northern section including making the changes recommended by the SCC Highways department in the interests of safety.

6 Finally we have been unable to get an explanation from Leek town Council's objection "Over Development" The reduction of the number of properties should address this..

7 The plot is land that serves no useful purposes and is in fact land that was intended to be developed when Boundary Close was being built. This was frustrated by the liquidation of the developer.