

## Kay, Hayley

---

**From:** Planning Comments (SMDC)  
**Subject:** FW: Comment Received from Public Access

-----Original Message-----

From: [planningcomments@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk](mailto:planningcomments@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk) [<mailto:planningcomments@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk>]  
Sent: 04 July 2018 20:40  
To: Planning Comments (SMDC)  
Subject: Comment Received from Public Access

Application Reference No. : NMA/2018/0015  
Site Address: Land At Sugar Street Rushton Spencer Staffordshire  
Comments by: Andrea Bailey Sugar Street Kids Limited  
From:

Rushton CE (VC) Primary School  
Sugar Street  
Rushton Spencer  
Macclesfield  
Staffordshire  
SK110SG

Phone:

Email:

Submission: Objection

Comments: SMD/2018/0395 To Demolish part of Ivy House and extend.

I object to the above based on the following:

1. Noted that there are no provisions for wheelie bins. If bins are to be located in the road this is highly likely to cause danger to the school children from cars (and the lorries from the hauliers further up Sugar Street) if there are further obstructions in the road. Sugar street is very narrow and further obstructions on this road could be fatal.
2. Proposed building of brick will spoil the appearance of the row of houses it joins. These buildings are all stone. This building should be built in local stone (reclaimed from the demolition?) to make it fit in with the other properties.

NMA/2018/0015

Calor gas tank installation & roof pitch

I object to this planning application on the grounds of safety and potential flooding. The installation of the calor gas tanks is close to the wall of the primary school and the Road. At least one property on Macclesfield Road has had permission to install a gas tank refused because of its proximity to the road and its further away from the road than this proposed installation. The installation is also shown to be on top of a culvert but no mention made of what is intended re the water on a site which is already predicted to have water issues Trees are also shown as being in the very close proximity again a risk from roots.

I also note that this plan suggests changing the pitch of the roof to accommodate different tiles. Unfortunately, the pictures on this site are too small and unable to be enlarged in order to determine if this means that the houses will be taller. If they are due consideration should be given to whether:

1. this impacts the ability to reduce neighbours' privacy further by having windows higher in the property.
2. any extra height will significantly increase the visual impact the houses have on the village.