MRS S. J. CROFT
58 A CHEADLE RD
FORSBROOK
ST11 9AS.
22ND JUNE 2018.

27 JUN 2018

Regarding APPLICATION # SMD/2018/0362
(5 DRAYCOTT RD FORSBROOK)

To whom it may Concern,
please accept this letter to support my rejection to the above planning application with Staffordshire Moorlands District Council.

I live and own an adjacent property; 58A Cheadle Rd, Forsbrook and my declaration of rejection is stated below:-

ACCESS.

1. The planned property/development is stated to be situated adjacent to my property which was built in 1990. Access to my property is via a narrow, shared driveway, with the access to the planned new development adjacent to it. This currently will significantly cause issues regarding safety, visibility, congestion and pedestrian thoroughfare. The proposed access is opposite a Public House which is often very busy during afternoons, evenings and weekends. Patrons visiting the pub currently use the area in front of my property and the proposed property

as additional parking areas. On many occassions I have been unable to enter and exit my property due to congestion and parked vehicles.

2. "The" Cheadle Road" layout leads from a Small Village (passing my property) and Then expands into The Staffordshire Moorlands countryside into Cheadle and Alton. It is often frequented by Lorries, Cars, horse and Carts, bicycles motor cycles and pedestrians. It is a very busy road and the current road layout is struggling to accomodate traffic and throughfare without additional congestion from access demands and rights.

3. The planned property is situated near to Three private dwellings which are immediately in situ. Additional other properties are very close by. The environment's capacity to allow additional access cannot be granted due to current safety and visibility as listed above in points 2 and 3.

APPEARANCE

1. The planned property would be ~~build~~ built and landscaped on an area that currently is an area of natural foliage, flora, flora, vegetation, trees and bushes, which provides my property with shelter and shade. Presently, it's presentation is of a natural vista; with tall trees, hedges,

foliage, wildlife and natural and un-touched Vegetation. This would dramatically effect the appearance and privacy of my property. Many of my windows face the planned development and this would dramatically impact on the value and 'bespoke' market value.

2. When I purchased my property in 2011, I did so solely on its' unique perspective of being private and secluded in nature. If the planned development is accepted, this will reduce and possibly extinguish these primary factors; reducing my properties' value and market appeal.
3. The surrounding properties and subsequent areas are similar in design and perspective, offering privacy, shade from tall trees and hedges and solidarity with discrete architectural design, landscaping and associated building work. The planned property is opposite to these elements, which could be described as stark and obstrusive.

LANDSCAPING & LAYOUT

1. The proposed property is planned to reside in a small, ~~[illegible]~~ unusually shaped, unconducive area of land. Boundaries are planned to exist close to my property boundaries and other property boundaries. These are unacceptable and

Cannot be resolved by "Shifting" the property, or rotating it to face another direction. The shape of the building plot would mean the need for imposing and untraditional architectural design and assumptions: effecting the overall aesthetics of the area and surrounding areas.

2. No amount of landscaping to the area can change the outcome for this planned application. The full context of the access, appearance and layout plus any landscaping considerations do not effect the overall outcome of unsatisfaction. The area should remain in its natural state which currently offers a natural vista for all to view and adds an element of originality to the current adjacent properties surrounding it.

Yours Sincerely

Mrs S J Croft