Burnett, James

From: Planning Comments (SMDC)
Subject: FW: Application Reference SMD/2018/0059

-----Original Message-----
From: Annette Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2018 11:26 AM
To: Planning Comments (SMDC)
Subject: Application Reference SMD/2018/0059

For the attention of James Stanard, Planning Officer

Application No SMD/2018/0059
Site Address: Painsley Catholic Academy, Station Road, Cheadle

I would like to express my objections and those of my wife Annette Thomas. We reside at 29, The Sidings, Cheadle., ST10 1YD.

One corner of the multi use games area is right at the end of our garden.

Reasons for objecting to this planning proposal:

1. Noise

The addition of mesh fencing and 1.2 metre high rebound panel at the bottom will create a noise nuisance, created by the ball either bouncing off the fence or being kicked against the rebound board. This will impact on my and my families' enjoyment of our garden.

2. Disturbance

For the same reasons detailed above the proposed fencing will result in disturbance to myself and my family. This will be particularly so, if after the installation of the fencing the use of the area increases.

3. Shading/loss of daylight

As the proposal includes a 4 metre high fence all the way around the multi use games area (MUGA) and because of its proposed proximity to the end of my property it will result in shading and a loss of light. The fencing will cast a shadow over my garden at certain times of the day. The posts and fencing will result in a loss of daylight to the rear of my property.

Painsley Catholic Academy already has two such MUGAs with fencing and flood lighting. If these resources are managed more efficiently, then there may not be a need for such fencing around this additional MUGA.

Stephen Thomas
Annette Thomas