From: Planning (SMDC)
To: Williamson, John

Subject: FW: Comment Received from Public Access

**Date:** 10 November 2017 10:31:23

From: planningcomments@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk [mailto:planningcomments@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk]

Sent: Thursday, November 09, 2017 3:58 PM

To: Planning Comments (SMDC)

Subject: Comment Received from Public Access

Application Reference No.: SMD/2017/0595 Site Address: The White House Blythe Bridge Road

Caverswall Staffordshire ST11 9EA Stoke On Trent

Comments by: Dr Samantha

From:

The White House

Blythe Bridge Road

Caverswall

Stoke on Trent

Staffordshire

ST11 9EA

Phone:

Email: <a href="mailto:samanthajanecaroline@yahoo.co.uk">samanthajanecaroline@yahoo.co.uk</a>

Submission: Neither

Comments: In reply to T. Mancey's further comments:

I take issue with the statement that the 'application is deceptive'. That would imply that it was misleading when it was submitted, which it was not. Furthermore, as the application is currently awaiting a decision (and has been now for some time) it is perhaps not possible to make changes to the original document. At the point that the plans were submitted for planning permission, it was our intention to simply repair the roof of the main building and re-roof the proposed annexe to match. Unfortunately, this was not possible as the roof to the main building was in a worse state than we originally thought. Following careful consideration and after seeking expert advice from contractors and Staffordshire Moorlands District Council, we decided to re-roof the entire property. Furthermore, given a tight budget, this needed to be achieved cost effectively.

Perhaps it would be judicious to note that such a venture would not have been embarked upon without seeking sage advice and the correct permissions and I would like to repeat the following:

The White House is not situated within the confines of the conservation area and as a consequence, the choice of which tile to use for the re-roofing project, so long as it meets industry standards and other various regulations, becomes the choice of the home owner.

https://www.staffsmoorlands.gov.uk/media/2053/Caverswall/pdf/Caverswall Conservation Area.pdf

As for the suggestion that I provide addresses for houses that are roofed similarly, I consider the request wholly inappropriate as, unlike the castle, these properties are private residences that do not seek to obtain a public profile.

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This transmission is intended for the named addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential material and should be handled accordingly. Unless you are the named addressee (or authorised to receive it for the addressee) you may not copy or use it, or disclose it to anyone else. If you have received this transmission in error please notify the sender immediately. All GCSX traffic may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

If this has come to you in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The Council may be required to disclose this email or any response to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This transmission is intended for the named addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive, privileged or confidential material and should be handled accordingly. Unless you are the named addressee (or authorised to receive it for the addressee) you may not copy or use it, or disclose it to anyone else. If you have received this transmission in error please notify the sender immediately. All GCSX traffic may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

If this has come to you in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The Council may be required to disclose this email or any response to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.