Burnett, James

From: Planning Comments (SMDC)
Subject: FW: SMD/2017/0089

From: jayne rushton
Sent: Monday, May 22, 2017 7:29 PM
To: Planning (SMDC)
Subject: Fwd: SMD/2017/0089

RE SMD/2017/0267

Dear sir/madam,
I attach my previous e-mail regarding the proposed extension to the care home at 7 New Road. The content and concerns of my previous correspondence remains the same, although the wording of the application is slightly different.
Please consider my (and other residents') previous correspondence,
Yours, Jayne Rushton
Jayne Rushton
---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **jayne rushton**
Date: Sun, Mar 5, 2017 at 4:01 PM
Subject: SMD/2017/0089
To: planning@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk

Dear sir/madam,
I have previously written regarding the earlier applications from this applicant, stating that the addition of extra rooms to the care home would increase the parking difficulties as the provision of some extra parking would not be sufficient for the extra staff needed, and the increase in young people living there would likely escalate the occasional anti-social behaviour. On a more recent application it was apparent that the request was for 'change of provision' from a dwelling house to a residential institution - this business has already been in operation for some time, without it seems permission. This current application is for the same request for additional bedrooms (doubling the capacity), but with the slight change in wording covering the 'certificate of existing lawful use'. I wonder whether each new application is treated as a brand new request, even if the main part of the application (the increase in beds and therefore the potential problems of that) remains the same?
Please see my (and other residents') previous correspondence, as the detail of the objection remains the same,
Yours,
Jayne Rushton