Burnett, James

From: Planning (SMDC)

Subject: FW: 16-067 Gazebo at Whitehough Hall - SMD/2016/0693

Attachments: 16-067_ AS(0)04 Rev A_Proposed Plans and Elevations.pdf; 16-067_AS(0)05_Rev A

Plan, Elevatio, Section of proposed leaded window.pdf; 16-067_AS(0)06_Rev A Plan, Elevatio, Section of proposed door.pdf; IMG_7131- Existing window.JPG; IMG_7133-Existing window.JPG; IMG_7135- Existing window.JPG; IMG_7138 - Existing bricked up windows.JPG; IMG_7151 -lower floor window.JPG; IMG_7152- upper floor

window.JPG

From: Beverley Poole [mailto:Beverley@bparchitecture.co.uk]

Sent: 17 January 2017 18:27

To: Howard, Lisa

Subject: 16-067 Gazebo at Whitehough Hall - SMD/2016/0693

Dear Lisa

16-067 Gazebo at Whitehough Hall - SMD/2016/0693

Thank you for your email dated 20 December 2016 which Stacey partially responded to. On 5 January 2017.

Please find attached amended drawings AS(0)04 Rev A , AS(0)05 Rev A and AS(0)06 Rev A for the Gazebo To further clarify the points you asked for a response on: -

Design of the Windows: The windows shown on our curent drawings take reference from the upper floor windows to Whitehough Hall, our drawings previously showed them referencing the ground floor windows, but realitically they are secondary windows and so would have been styled on windows based on windows at first floor, as those at ground floor are larger and grander.

Plank Widths, Moulding to the Door, ironwork: The doors on Whitehough Hall have larger plank widths and doors are framed. As this is a garden Gazebo and lesser structure it is likely that the door would have been ledged and braced. The attached drawing reflects this. The hinges would have been strap hinges, wroiught iron no loner being available, these will be manufacturerd to look like iron. A latch is shown to the door which would be in keeping with the type of closure to this type of structure.

Photos

Stacey has provided you with a full size copy of the only two historic photos we have of the exisitng structure, these were embedded in our originally submitted historic statement.

I have attached three photos taken on our site survey, I have titled one of the Ground floor window and one upper Floor window. This shows that the existing windows at Lower floor to the hall have leadwork which is are horizontally emphasized, whereas windows on the upper floor are vertically emphasized leadwork – which is more in keeping with expectations.

I have also attched two photos taken of existing windows, which appear to be windows that have been removed and set aside.

In relation to **bricking up of the existing windows** in the Gazebo. I have attached a close up photo of one of the bricked up windows you will see that this is done largely behind the existing stone frame mullions and transoms, with the bricks stacked either side of the divisions. Because such care has been taken to brick around the stone mullion and transom, we can only assume that the windows were not intended to be blind. Blind windows are normally without such augmentation.

Our assumption is that as a Window Tax was in existence between 1696 and 1851 it can be assumed that either the windows were bricked up at this time, and then loosely bricked up for later opening up. It may be that financial circumstances prevented reinstallation of windows due to the condition of the exisitng ones set aside, and the cost of reinstating them.

Historic Images

We can only find two historic images of the Gazebo from our searches, which Stacey sent you in her earlier email, these are grainy and only show a black and grey image of the Gazebo we believe to have been taken in Edwardian times.

Timber Lintels

The drawings have been amended to show reinstatement of timber lintels

First Floor

Stacey has answered in relation to the First Floor. Our Client has confirmed that our advice is correct.

The works are subject of a grant application. Our Client will be using our drawings to obtain quotes from Historic Building specilists to carry out the work. Our Client wants to reinstate the Gazebo so that this can be put to use for recreational purposes which would have been its original constructed intention. At presnet because of its condition it cannot be used,, and if it were reinstated with bricked up windows it could be used as little more than an expensive store.

I trust you now have all of the information required to assist you in drawing up a report nad making a decision.

Beverley

Regards
Beverley Poole

BA(hons) Dip Arch RIBA

Director & Architect

For and on behalf of bpArchitecture Ltd

W: Beverley@bpArchitecture.co.uk
W: www.bparchitecture.co.uk

△: 93 High Street Biddulph Staffordshire ST8 6AB Secretary RIBA NW Sole Principals Group

A Please consider the environment do not print this email unless you have to.

Architecture | Interior Architecture | Conservation | Landscape and External Works

Residential | Education | Healthcare | Commercial | Industrial | Community | Leisure



RIBA Chartered Practice: 2009913

Our business relies on recommendations from our Clients, why not leave us a review on Facebook, LinkedIn, Houzz, or Google + by clicking the image link.

bpArchitecture Ltd



Best Multi-Disciplinary Architects - Staffordshire



bpArchitecture Limited: Registered in England & Wales, Company Number 09354111 VAT Registration 202650159

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the addressees, and may contain information that is confidential, under copyright or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not read, print, copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it

Any advice given, or views expressed is subject to this practices terms and conditions. By reading and acting on the advice given you accept the terms and conditions.

VIEWS: bpArchitecture are a Limited Company and any reference to 'us', 'we' or 'I' should be taken to mean bpArchitecture Limited

ANTI-VIRUS: This message has been scanned by up-to-date anti-virus software and to the best of our knowledge is virus free at the point of transmission. This
message has been sent via the Internet. Internet communications are not secure. It is the responsibility of the recipient to check all transmissions for viruses.
bpArchitecture Limited cannot guarantee that this message has not been modified in transit.

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This transmission is intended for the named addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive or protectively marked material up to RESTRICTED and should be handled accordingly. Unless you are the named addressee (or authorised to receive it for the addressee) you may not copy or use it, or disclose it to anyone else. If you have received this transmission in error please notify the sender immediately. All GCSX traffic may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

If this has come to you in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The Council may be required to disclose this email or any response to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000

Do you really need to print out this Email? Be green - keep it on the screen.

This transmission is intended for the named addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive or protectively marked material up to RESTRICTED and should be handled accordingly. Unless you are the named addressee (or authorised to receive it for the addressee) you may not copy or use it, or disclose it to anyone else. If you have received this transmission in error please notify the sender immediately. All GCSX traffic may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.

If this has come to you in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email from your system. The Council may be required to disclose this email or any response to it under the Freedom of Information Act 2000