Burnett, James

From: Planning (SMDC)
Subject: FW: Planning Application SMD/2016/0759

From: Ian Myatt
Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2016 5:59 PM
To: Planning (SMDC)
Subject: Fwd: Planning Application SMD/2016/0759

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Ian Myatt**
Date: 15 December 2016 at 17:52
Subject: Planning Application SMD/2016/0759
To: Arne.Swithenbank@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk

Dear Mr Swithenbank,

Our property directly borders both the driveway to the house and the "garden" area of #7 New Road.

As was mentioned in the previous planning application, we have already experienced wilful damage to the fencing between ourselves from one of the current "residents" and 2 Ash Way (Incident #792300716, Crime #I2-53471-2016), and constantly have to suffer discarded cigarette butts, crisp packets, empty drink containers, takeaway litter, etc., thrown into our land courtesy of the lack of supervision given to the children supposedly in their care, coupled with frequent late night disturbances in the form of shouting, yelling, etc., and regular police vehicles calling in. Not an ideal situation in a quiet, otherwise **WHOLLY** residential cul-de-sac consisting of families living their previously undisturbed lives in an otherwise restful and untroubled area.

Despite the staff finally complying with instructions to park their cars on the drive ("coincidentally" following most of the local residents' objections to the original planning application), many visitors to Number 7 are **STILL** parking their own vehicles in such a way as the pavements in New Road and the lower part of Ash Way are completely inaccessible (especially to me in my wheelchair - I **STILL** refuse to have to go from Ash Way to New Road by using the road itself on a blind junction for personal safety reasons) when they could easily park on Number 7's driveway, so the thought of additional occupants and visitors remains as worrying as it was last time when there is already enough parking space for at least eight vehicles if parked correctly using the existing drive, and yet there are rarely more than three or four actually on their own property.

Also, despite the reports generated by a single tracking unit in the company's pool car based at Number 7, both staff and visitors are still driving along New Road at **FAR** higher than desirable speeds to access the property itself.

I notice that on the new application form under Section 3, the "Change of use to 'C2' - Residential accommodation and care to people in need of care" section notes that the Change Of Use has **NOT** already

started. This is, in fact, an outright lie. The Change Of Use has been in effect since Unity Care took in their first resident.

And again, under Section 15 "Trees and Hedges", it clearly states that there are **NO** trees or hedges on the proposed development site. Is this another “accidental” misrepresentation of the truth?

Once more, under Section 17 "Residential Units", there is **NO** increase given in the number of residential units on the site. Is this yet more distortion of reality?

I know that if Mr Wilcox had this same situation immediately bordering his own dwelling that he would object too, and for the same reasons as I do.

Please deny this application on the objections (to each and all of the Official Change Of Use, the building conversion and the alteration to the existing driveway) listed above.

Ian Myatt

5 New Road

Stoke-on-Trent

ST2 9DY