

**Burnett, James**

---

**From:** Planning (SMDC)  
**Subject:** FW: Planning application SMD/2016/0400 Planning meeting 06/10/16. URGENT CONSIDERATION.

-----Original Message-----

From: Lesley Byatt  
Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 9:22 PM  
To: Planning (SMDC); Banks, Alan (CLLR); Ellis, Stephen (CLLR); Jackson, Peter (CLLR); Deaville, Mark (CLLR); Bull, Julie (CLLR); Critchlow, Teresa; Lucas, Ivor (CLLR); Trafford, Pat  
Cc: Claire Gray  
Subject: Planning application SMD/2016/0400 Planning meeting 06/10/16. URGENT CONSIDERATION.

Urgent consideration for objection.

With reference to the above planning application, land adjacent to Meadow Drive, concerning amendments to the planning for access arrangements.

Consideration must be taken into account that the Staffordshire residential design guide states the following.

Paragraph 213.

**EMERGENCY SERVICE VEHICLE REQUIREMENTS.**

This guide has been careful to ensure that emergency service requirements will not be compromised. There is now a greater emphasis on keeping dead end access routes to a minimum. This is to be achieved by the greater use of loop roads, connecting streets or Cul de sacs with emergency link access. If this is not achieved the MAXIMUM length of any single access Cul de sac should be 180 metres.

Meadow Drive already meets this requirement without the extra extension to the Cul de sac of the housing development. The plans do not show any other provision for emergency vehicle access and will more than double the length of the existing Cul de sac, therefore not meeting the requirements of the Staffordshire residential design guide.

This begs the question, is Meadow Drive to be considered at all for access or do the original plans, which meet the above guide lines meet the developments needs more adequately.

Regards,

Alan and Lesley Byatt.