

**Abberley, Zoe**

---

**From:** Swithenbank, Arne  
**Sent:** 21 July 2015 15:24  
**To:** Planning (SMDC)  
**Subject:** FW: KNIVEDEN NEW WT APPLICATION SMD/2015/0366

**Categories:** Red Category

for web please

A

---

Arne D. Swithenbank  
Ecologist and Associate Planner  
Regulatory Services: Planning Applications  
Staffordshire Moorlands District Council  
Moorlands House  
Leek ST13 6HQ  
Staffordshire  
tel 01538 395578

e-mail: [arne.swithenbank@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk](mailto:arne.swithenbank@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk)

---

**From:** kathryn turnock [mailto:[kathryn.turnock@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk](mailto:kathryn.turnock@staffsmoorlands.gov.uk)]  
**Sent:** 21 July 2015 15:28  
**To:** Swithenbank, Arne  
**Cc:** Ralphs, Sybil (CLLR); Hawkins, Norma (CLLR); Malyon, Linda (CLLR); Lesley Roberts; BRADLEY, Karen; julie.taylor@leektowncouncil.co.uk  
**Subject:** RE: KNIVEDEN NEW WT APPLICATION SMD/2015/0366

Dear Arne Swithenbank

**1) They are are increasing the blade wind swept area by some 50%! - this is not a small change - with a far larger detrimental visual impact on a very sensitive landscape .... from Morridge, from The Roaches, from Gun Hill, all the way down Buxton Road etc ! It is a TOTALLY different model!!**

If I had permission to build a 2 storey house - would I be allowed to increase it by 50% to 3 stories under a section 73 or would it have to be a new planning application - that needed all the full statements and information?

Having come over Gun Hill the other night down towards Meerbrook, at the footpath and those amazing views over the valley I was truly horrified at the impact that the third turbine on Morridge has made - now there are 3 all turning at different times, and flashing! they were very clearly seen and far more dominant now there are 3 and hugely detrimental in this landscape for the visual peace and tranquility ... and clearly cumulative impact ..... something NOT considered with the previous application ..... therefore a FULL landscape impact assessment needs to be done! ..... there is also no reference to the NEW Wind Turbine Landscape Sensitivity Study or the NEW Ministerial statement June 18th 2015? THIS ALL NEEDS TO BE DONE FOR A NEW APPLICATION FAR LARGER AND FAR LARGER LANDSCAPE IMPACT THAN THE ONE THAT WAS PASSED SOME 2 YEARS AGO AT APPEAL!! - why are you not making them do this? **why have you validated it WITHOUT this important information?**

This affects the Peak District and the Natural Zone -for which SMDC have a duty to co-operate in protecting - so why are you allowing this as a section 73 discharge of conditions - PARTICULARLY

WHEN THE INSPECTOR DECISION IS VERY SPECIFIC ABOUT THE MODEL OF WIND TURBINE.

And this Kniveden Turbine would be in front of them, more in the foreground - and now they want to add 50% bigger swept area which would be even more detrimental to the landscape.

2) We will see all of this from our residential amenity area - and would be detrimental to us, my health, the immediate local area, the footpaths national trails and tourism and our eco-friendly holiday cottages.

3) Why are you allowing this under a section 73?

4) THIS IS A TOTALLY DIFFERENT LARGER WIND TURBINE - Why are you not making them do a totally new planning application - with full landscape and visual impact assessments, wildlife assessments and design and access statements?

5) Please can you send me a copy of the 'Despatch Covering letter' that came with the Inspectorate decision for Kniveden Wind Turbine.

6) Please send me a copy of the Inspectorate decision.

7) Have you sent a notice letter to all those that commented on the application previously - to let them know?

8 This application has a NEW reference number - for Wind Turbines there is supposed to be 'public consultation' prior to application - when has this been advertised or done please?

9) Is this still delegated decision? - or is it going to committee?

10) What dates are you working to please?

Is some new noise date has gone on the website yesterday/today (website is not accessible at the moment)- so does the 21 days consultation go from this date now?

11) This application clearly makes reference to previous application but all the information is not showing on this application for the public to see ie there is no planning officers report showing. This is wrong if there is currently public consultation going on.

12) There is also ANOTHER 'discharge of conditions' application regarding this Kniveden Wind Turbine REF SMD/2013/1069 - but this is not showing anything on the SMDC Public Access Planning Portal - why not when this is now all relevant and a live application?

In light of the fact that these are all relevant to a 'live application' these should be updated BEFORE public consultation starts.

Kind regards

Kathryn Turnock